#### Connecticut College

#### Digital Commons @ Connecticut College

1971-1972

**Student Newspapers** 

3-9-1972

#### Pundit Vol. 55 No. 15

**Connecticut College** 

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.conncoll.edu/ccnews\_1971\_1972

#### **Recommended Citation**

Connecticut College, "Pundit Vol. 55 No. 15" (1972). *1971-1972*. 8. https://digitalcommons.conncoll.edu/ccnews\_1971\_1972/8

This Newspaper is brought to you for free and open access by the Student Newspapers at Digital Commons @ Connecticut College. It has been accepted for inclusion in 1971-1972 by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ Connecticut College. For more information, please contact bpancier@conncoll.edu. The views expressed in this paper are solely those of the author.

### Shain, Knight Present Budget - Page Four

Jundit

DNNECTICUT COLLEGE

New London, Conn.

Vol. 55 No. 15



Thursday, March 9, 1972

### Hilsman Discusses Nixon's China Trip

#### By SUSAN BLACK

"Nixon's Trip to China" was the subject of a talk given by Roger Hilsman, professor of Government at Columbia University, last Thursday evening in Dana Hall. Using as vantage points his experiences in foreign affairs and government and as the author of numerous books on foreign policy, Mr. Hilsman discussed the background to China's present situation, the reasons behind China's invitation and President Nixon's acceptance of it, and the future of China.

Mr. Hilsman explored the theory that the China invitation was due to purely pragmatic reasons: as a country surrounded by potentially dangerous or competitive neighbors (i.e., Japan, Russia, the U.S.), China would seek to ease tensions by making advances to one of these neighbors-in this case, the U.S. However, he held that this theory, though "valid," was "not sufficient:" why did not China extend the invitation to Russia? He suggested that China's motives lie deeper, in policy or power struggle resulting from Mao's waning influence (likening Mao to a "George Washington" or grandfather figure).

As for the President's reasons for accepting such an invitation, Mr. Hilsman saw them as clearcut. He commended Nixon on this gesture of normalization, of an opening of communications ("I must approve since I called for it long ago."), but scored him for the manner and the timing of the visit. "This was not quiet diplomacy, but a TV spectacular-I think it's sad," he said, adding that the timing for the best possible political effect was remarkable. Also, he felt that the visit should have been delayed until the Vietnam war had been settled.

"Not much" seems to sum up Mr. Hilsman's assessment of the results of Nixon's trip. Except for the opening of communication channels, he predicted that tourism would be the only area drastically affected, with negligible effects, at best, on our relations with Japan, Russia, and Formosa, on the Vietnam War and Hanoi ("mistrust"), and on trade. Thus he expects intangible results, rather than solid achievement, from this trip.

However, Mr. Hilsman did not discount China as a power to be reckoned with or as a country of high military technology and development. He predicted that a "dual China" will develop. On the one hand will be the peasants, tradition-bound and struggling upward, while on the other hand will be an "elite" that will form China into a nation as powerful as Japan or Great Britain.

The new leadership of China will be "ambitious to restore China to the place in the world it deserves" and also hostile at times-he was quick to add that he felt China would invade its neighbors only if the U.S. or Russia tried a similar move.

In a press conference before his talk, Mr. Hilsman made several additional points. Although he "would not make a big point of this," Nixon did suffer a "loss of face" in going to China, rather than having Chinese representatives come to this country. He attributed this to China's strange (Continued On Page 7)



### Theatre One to Present "Once Upon a Mattress"

Theatre One will be presenting Once Upon a Mattress March 10 and 11 in Palmer Auditorium. The play, based on the story of the "Princess and the Pea", is a cooperative effort with the Music and Dance Departments along with Theatre Studies majors at Connecticut College. Ted Chapin '72 is directing the production which is Theatre One's first musical. Fred Grimsey is the producer.

The cast and crew have been working on the show since January. Jodie Lucey '73, who spent last semester at the National Theatre Institute, has designed the set and Mark Litvin '73, the lights. Paul Althouse and James Clouser are directing the music and choreography respectively. Costume coordination is being done by Gail Mittendorf.

The cast includes, in order of appearance: Minstrel. Robert Utter; Prologue Queen. Mary Pat Azevido; Porlogue Prince Kevin Murray; Prologue Princess. Meva Eringen; Wizard. Chad Bradshaw; Princess 12. Katharine Anne Powell; Lady Rowena. Kathy Jacobs; Prince Dauntless.. Robert Himes: Queen Aggravain. Patricia Brown; King Sextimus. Michael Hunold; Lady Lucille...Holly Bannister; Lady Larken..Paula Savoie; Jester...Warren Erickson; Sir Studley..Christopher Kusske; Sir Harry..Dario Coletta; Princess Winnifred..Lili Goodman; Sir Luce..Charles Morrison; Lady Dora...Madeleine Robins; Emily..Laurie Fjord; Lady Beatrice..Meva Eringen; Lady Angela..Katharine Anne Powell; Lady Mabelle..Laurie Fjord; Sir Howard..David Harvey; Sir Douglas..Donald Kane; Lord Patrick..Mark Wilson; Sir Harold..Kevin Murray; Sir Steven..Tucker Hewes; Sir Christopher..John Wilson; Nightengale of Samartan..Meva Eringen.

Tickets can be purchased in Crozier-Williams today until 4:45 p.m. or Friday and Saturday in Palmer Box Office, ext. 384. Since all seats are reserved, calling in advance is advisable. Tickets are \$2.50 and \$2.00 for the general public and \$1.00 for students and faculty with ID.



Student Bill of Rights Approved by Council

The Student Assembly last week discussed and approved in theory a rough draft of a Student Bill of Rights. The statement, which was prepared by Anita DeFrantz '74, will be considered by the College Council in a meeting this afternoon.

The Bill of Rights enumerates individual student rights and "freedom to learn." "This is basically a procedural document," Anita said. She added that many of the provisions of the statement "should go without saying," but are included in order to eliminate any confusion that might arise if the rights of students are in some way brought into question.

If it is approved by College Council, the report will be voted upon by the faculty and by the student body. Anita hopes that both groups will vote on the Student Bill of Rights during the month of April. The statement must also be ratified by the Board of Trustees before it goes into effect.

The Bill of Rights is divided into six sections, the first of which states that no student will be denied admission to the College on the basis of race or religion.

The second section outlines student rights in the classroom, including disagreeing with data and views presented in courses, recourse to the Academic Honor Committee in the case of improper academic evaluation, and protection against the improper disclosure of student beliefs by faculty.

Section Three discussed the keeping of academic, disciplinary, medical, and placement records by the College and their use.

A Section on Student Affairs lists the rights to join associations, to investigate and discuss questions of interest to individual students, and to invite and hear speakers without censorship by the College. Student Participation in institutional government and the right to maintain a free press and radio are also discussed.

Section five lists the points of (Continued On Page 3)

### A Credibility Gap

The all-College meeting on Monday started with a brief, informative presentation of the Preliminary Budget for next year, but predictably deteriorated to a fruitless exchange of charges and countercharges.

The accusations were an inevitable result of a deplorable lack of trust between John Schwartz (perhaps he has backers on the committee, but no one chose to say so) and members of the administration. President Shain and Mr. Knight were cast as villains, paranoid of criticism and suspicious of student inquiry.

I cannot be lead to believe that this is true. Perhaps they did overreact to the questionable tactics employed by Mr. Schwartz. But, after hearing both sides of the arguements, it is apparent to me that the administration behaved far less irresponsibly than its vocal opponent on the Development Committee.

The Minority Report expressed an honest difference of opinion concerning the scholarship allotment, but the methods used in presenting and publicizing these views were less than prudent.

It would have been useful (and would still be very useful) to more specifically define the function of the Development Committee. Should the Committee make general recommendations, or should it analyze the budget in detail? (And is it capable of conducting a thorough analysis of such a large and complex budget?)

The question of confidentiality should have been — and should be — resolved. In theory, it sounds good to say that the College must be made aware of committee activities, but it has been demonstrated that broadcasting issues and opinions can make a shambles of the committee's effectiveness. Many members of the committee felt that Mr. Schwartz was obliged to consult them before taking information to the Trustees or the rest of the College.

Finally, there is no reason to believe that the administration isn't just as concerned about keeping costs down as the students are. For students to ask to play some part in preparing the budget through the committee system is reasonable; demanding to see the entire budget (and thus implicitly asserting that the administration is being lax in cutting costs) is not.

Pundit CONNECTIONT COLLEGE				
ESTABLISHED IN 1916 AND PUBLISHED BY THE STUDENTS OF CONNECTICUT COLLEGE EVERY THURSDAY WHILE THE COLLEGE IS IN SESSION EXCEPT DURING EXAMINATION AND VACATION PERIODS. SECOND CLASS ENTRY AUTHORIZED AT NEW LONDON, CONNECTICUT. EDITOR-IN-CHIEF				
Allen Carroll '73				
Wendy Dolliver '73 ASSISTANT EDITORS ASSOCIATE EDITOR Mary Ann Sill '73				
Photography EditorJon Cotton '75 AdvertisingLynn Cole '74				
Circulation Robin Goldband '73				
Business Manager Peggy Muschell '72				
Make-Up Fran Axelrad '74				
Classified Ads Robin Goldband '73				
CONTRIBUTORS Susan Black, Tom Bowler, Donna Cartwright, Chris Fox, Carin Gordon, Sharon Greene, Donald Kane, Madeleine Robins, Jim Shackford, Greg Yahia.				
REPRESENTED FOR NATIONAL ADVERTISING BY National Educational Advertising Services, Inc. 360 Lexington Ave., New York, N. Y. 10017				

### Letters to the Editor

#### Majority Speaks

To the Editor:

In response to the article in the March 2 issue of Pundit by Pat Whittaker and Mary Cerreto concerning the Administration Committee, the following points should be stated for clarification: 1) Student representation did not occur because of the 1970 strike. The Committee to Study Student Representation on College Committees (known as the Omwake Committee), composed of faculty, students, and administration, was appointed by the President in March 1969. The Omwake Committee report was subsequently submitted to the faculty for discussion in October and was voted approval on January 7, 1970.

2) The student members of the O m w a ke C o m m it te e unanimously supported the recommendation that student representatives on the Administration Committee should not participate in those discussions which concerned their fellow students. Such participation was viewed as a violation of the students' rights of confidentiality.

3) As soon as students were elected to the Administration Committee, the question of full student participation was raised and was re-directed to the faculty. The faculty, on November 4, 1970, voted overwhelmingly to maintain that right of confidentiality by reaffirming that "student members of the Administration Committee participate in all considerations of policy but not in the consideration of student or faculty petitions, or of individual cases. It may be helpful to point out that the primary function of the Administration Committee is to evaluate exceptions to established college regulations rather than to initiate in-

The Administration Committee

#### Library Seating

To the Editors:

novations.

I endorse the opinions you express in your editorial in the March 2 PUNDIT about our plans for a new library building, but I feel obliged to clarify the comparative statistics on seating in the present and proposed buildings. The 500 seats referred to as the number planned for the new building actually exceed those in Palmer Library (370) by 130 instead of the "less than 50" mentioned in the ditorial. With seminar seats added in each case, the totals are 551 for the new building and 417 for the old.

To say that the prospects are somewhat brighter than your editorial suggests, however, is not to say that there is no cause for concern. Even with the seminar seats (which are of minimal value as study areas because they are almost never fully utilized except when classes are in session), the planned ratio of seating to enrollment is lower than that provided in recently built libraries at many institutions similar in size and character to Connecticut College.

Although the high percentage of single dormitory rooms at Conn. theoretically reduces the need for students to study in the library, current reports seem to indicate that dormitories are becoming steadily less conducive to concentration. Another factor which makes the adequacy of the projected seating capacity at Conn. doubtful is that it was set when anticipated maximum enrollment by 1980 was 2,000 students. That maximum is now expected to approach 2,500, and the new library is supposed to be sufficient without expansion for at least another ten years beyond that date.

Neither the library staff nor the

Faculty-Student Library Committee is satisfied with the number of seats planned, although it is higher than one consultant recommended on the basis of a user count in the spring of 1968, during which no more than 11 per cent of the student body was in the library at any given time. In our view, a more comfortable and inviting library would undoubtedly attract a higher percentage of students and faculty. We reluctantly accepted the conservative projection now appearing in the program as a compromise in the face of the twin specters of a tight economy and spiraling construction costs. If we had a substantial portion of the total cost in hand, perhaps additional seats and other items cut from the original recommendations could be restored. Unless the (Continued On Page 6)

Visiting VIP's Ambassador Karim

His Excellency, Enayet Karim, the Ambassador Designate of the Government of Bangladesh to the United States, will address the College on "The Birth of Bangladesh" in Palmer Auditorium on Wednesday, March 15, at 8:00 p.m.

The Ambassador studied Economics at Dacca University, where he received Bachelor's and Master's degrees. From 1950-52, he was a lecturer in Economics at the University of Dacca.

Karim has held numerous diplomatic posts for Pakistan in several countries, including Great Britain, India, Iran, and Burma. From 1964 to 1967, he served as Counsellor of the Pakistan High Commission in New Delhi, and was Director of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs in Islamabad from 1967 to 1970. In 1970 he was appointed Minister and Head of Chancery at the Pakistan Embassy in Washington, D.C.

The Ambassador's visit is being jointly sponsored by the Department of History and Government.

### William Stringfellow

William Stringfellow--lawyer, theologian, harborer of fugitives--will be the guest preacher at College Worship this Sunday. Now a resident of Block Island, R.I., Stringfellow practised poverty law in East Harlem during the 1950's and early 60's. Nat Hentoff has written in The Nation: "Stringfellow is no liberal. He is a radically relevent Christian--an extremely rare species."

It was as such a "rare species" that Stringfellow came under special FBI surveillance recently. The Friendly Birdwatchers Institute apprehended Father Daniel Berrigan while he was a guest in Stringfellow's Block Island home.

Stringfellow is the author of many books; among them My People Is The Enemy, Free In Obedience, Dissenter in a Great Society, and A Second Birthday. At present he is collaborating with Anthony Towne on a biography of the late Bishop James Pike.

College worship is at 11:00 a.m. in Harkness Chapel. Child care and Chapel School are available in the College Nursery School. -Table One-

## 1972-73 Budget Summaria

#### By ALLEN CARROLL

At an all-college meeting on Monday afternoon, President Charles Shain and Treasurer and Business Manager Leroy Knight presented a summary of the preliminary budget for the 1972-73 year.

\$9,291,800 budget The. represents an increase of six percent over the revised budget of \$8.8 million for 1971-72. Knight stated that in the past five years, the budget has increased by \$2.2 million, or 31 percent. "The budget has...barely kept pace with the increase in the cost of living," he said.

A large portion of the increase in expenditures of \$529,900 from this year to next year is in salaries and wages and student aid. The 1972-73 budget allotment for salaries and wages is \$262,200 over this year's allotment; student aid has increased by \$145,100. Staff benefits have increased by \$68,400, and other

1972-1973

increases in expenditures amount to \$54,200.

'It is a very lean, hard budget, and shows very little increase in expenditures other than for people," Knight said. 69 per cent or \$6.4 million of the preliminary budget has been allocated for "people" (including wages and salaries, student aid, etc.); \$9.3 million is designated for 'things".

Knight compared the preliminary budget with this year's revised budget and the actual budgets as far back as 1968-69. "The program for next year reflects what we have been doing for the past five years pretty systematically," he stated.

The precentage of the College's income that is provided by students has increased in the past five years. In 1968-69, room and board and tuition and fees made up 70 percent of the total income of the College. This has increased to 77 percent for the 1972-73 school year.

"The endowment has grown little if any (over the past five years)," Knight said. Gifts have doubled, accounting for the elimination of the budget deficit. The College hopes to complete both this year and next year with balanced budget. Shain a stressed the importance of not depleting our reserves, which are now less than \$1 million, down from \$3.5 million five years ago.

The physical plant budget has increased from approximately \$770,000 in 1968-69 to \$893,000. This included increases in expenditures on grounds general expenses, and staff benefits. Expenditures on buildings have decreased from \$776,000 to \$584,000. A relatively large increase in the power house budget (from \$237,000 to \$529,000) is chiefly due to rising costs of utilities and fuel.

The projected total student aid for 1972-73 is about \$1.25 million, \$900,000 of which is included in the preliminary budget (the remainder of student aid includes loans, co-op, and student employment). This figure represents an increase of approximately \$174,000 over this year's total student aid.

To clarify the figures presented with this article, Knight said, "To permit comparability of previous data with the Preliminary 1972-73 budget, the data for the years 1968-69 through 1971-72 has been adjusted to conform to the new budget format as authorized by the Trustees for the Preliminary 1972-73 Budget. These adjustments relate to the budgets for the Operation and Maintenance of Physical Plant, Staff Benefits, Auxiliary Enterprises Transfers." These adjustments have been included in all but Table One.

Following the budget presentation, John Schwartz '72, Co-chairman of the Development Committee spoke on the minority report, and in particular the budget comparisons between Conn College and Fairfield University, which Shain had earlier called "inaccurate."

"From their response to my

repeated requests to examine the data they gathered, I can only judge that they wanted not a correction of the report to the community, but rather its retraction," he said.

He gave an account of his experiences on the Development Committee, including the disagreements over the student aid allocation and alleged difficulty in receiving detailed information from the administration.

"I think we should continue to work to see the budget proposals of the minority report enacted," he said.

Walter Brady, Co-chairman of the Development Committee, briefly replied to Schwartz's speech, saying that the committee had in the past been "strictly an advisory com-mittee". The committee had failed to firmly "establish an identity," he said. Opinions differed over whether to attempt a detailed analysis of the budget or to make general recom-mendations as it had done in the past.

In a question-and-answer period that followed, members of the audience inquired about specific aspects of the Preliminary Budget, and discussed the Development Committee controversy with Shain, Knight, and the Cochairmen.




#### **CONNECTICUT COLLEGE** SUMMARY OF PRELIMINARY ESTIMATE OF BUDGET 1972-1973 1971-1972 1970-1971 **REVISED PRELIMIN REVENUE AND APPROPRIATION BUDGET ARY BUDGET** ACTUAL -

cotton

	ACTUAL	DUDGET	ARI BUDGEI
Educational and General	A States		De sale sere se
Student tuition and fees	\$4,038,139	\$4,566,800	\$4,782,400
Endowment income	455,834	487,600	491,000
Gifts	676,678	625,000	790,900
Sponsored research	159,133	160,000	160,000
Other sponsored programs	144,536	145,000	145,000
Organized activities relating to			A CARLON AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN
educational departments	173,584	162,400	175,000
Other sources	356,333	341,000	318,000
Total Educational and General	\$6,004,237	\$6,487,800	\$6,862,300
Auxiliary Enterprises	2,106,520	2,224,100	2,368,600
and the second sec	2,100,020		
Total Revenue	\$8,110,757	\$8,711,900	\$9,230,900
Appropriation from Capital Surplus	467,849	50,000	60,900
Total Revenue and Appropriation	\$8,578,606	\$8,761,900	\$9,291,800
EXPENDITURES AND TRANSFERS	3		A State of the second
			Comp -
Educational and General			STATIST 2018
Instructional and departmental research	\$2 070 012	\$2,025,200	\$9 540 000
Humanities-Upward Bound programs	9,337	\$2,020,200	\$2,549,800
Organized activities relating to	0,001		105 000
educational departments	183,654	191,500	195,000
Sponsored research	159,133	160,000	160,000
Other sponsored programs	144,536	145,000	145,000
Extension and public service	31,626	40,100	40,700
Library	283,751	304,900	321,200
Student services	477,623	475,200	500,300
Operation and maintenance of		415,200	The state
physical plant	940,175	1,010,900	002 700
General administration	247,734	266,300	892,700
Staff benefits	618,727	661,500	282,400
General institutional	593,476	636,900	014 500
the second second second second second			914,500
Total Educational and General	\$5,759,784	\$5,917,500	¢C 001 000
Student Aid	658,550	745,000	\$6,001,600
Auxiliary Enterprises	1,864,161	1,943,300	906,300
		1,040,000	2,383,900
Total Expenditures less transfers	\$8,282,495	\$8,605,800	¢0 100 000
Transfers	296,111	156,100	\$9,133,800
The second s			158,000
Total Expenditures and Transfers	\$8,578,606	\$8,761,900	\$0 201 200
the second se	1112101000	40,101,000	\$9,291,800

# d At All-College Meeting



#### Letters to the Editor

#### (Continued From Page 2)

financial picture changes, however, caution will continue to be the watchword, it seems. Sincerely,

Mary McKenzie Librarian

#### Schwartz vs Shain

To the Editor:

Before the minority report was published, President Shain informed me that he considered the comparative data it contained misleading. He quoted a few figures from correspondence Hickson, the vicewith Mr. president for finance from Fairfield University, and the same man from whom I received my original data. I said that I was willing to examine anything he considered inaccurate in my report and requested a copy of their correspondence. President Shain refused to give me access to the information, stating that it was contained in letters addressed to the treasurer. Later the treasurer also refused to copies this supply of correspondence.

Faced with a dead end here, I called Mr. Hickson at Fairfield. Though he had been co-operative at our first meeting and had acknowledged receipt of a copy of my final comparative report in a friendly letter, he now refused to speak with me despite repeated phone calls. I concluded that his current refusal to talk with me was a result of conversations with our administrators, and appealed to them to help me obtain information to correct the reported inaccuracies in my figures. They refused, stating that Hickson did not want to become "involved" in a dispute between our administration and students. It is evident that their concept of involvement is to supply the administrators with detailed information while denying it to students.

I am accused of marshalling a case against the administration of Connecticut College and presenting it unfairly. However, even in the absence of badlyneeded data, I can tell that the administration itself offered a highly one-sided version in the Pundit. The difference between the \$896,000 budget and the \$541,000 figure, for example, is the difference between total and operating budgets; I cite the operating budget, while they complain I should have used the while they The difference is this: total. Fairfield University borrowed heavily to build its campus and this year is spending \$355,000 (\$896,000 less \$541,000) to pay back the interest and principle; Connecticut College, on the other hand is paying only \$30,000 on the Cummings Arts Center this year. Furthermore, President Shain's comments did not include the fact

more days work than we do. While I would agree that our institution probably has more need for physical plant expenditures from Fairfield-and say so in public-I am unable to accurately assess the com-parability of Fairfield and Connecticut for lack of information.

that Fairfield University has a

far longer academic calander

and thus must pay employees for

In response to his charges that I presented my facts in a "dishonest and irresponsible manner," I can say that he was fully informed of my information before it was presented; his allegations, on the other hand, were printed in the Pundit and made before the trustees without my consultation or prior knowledge.

I am an elected representative and willing to explain my conduct to any of my constitutents. I live in Larrabee 107 and my phone number is 447-9216. Please get in touch with me if you have any comments or questions.

John Schwartz '72

**Crozier** Restrictions

To the Editor: I believe that the athletic department at Crozier-Williams not only fallen short of fulfilling its duties to the students of Connecticut College and because of its present state of operation it is in direct conflict with the goals the college should be striving to attain in the near future. The purpose of this letter is to bring to light the conflicts occurring in the athletic department at Crozier-Williams by stating the situation as seen by this student.

Reviewing the College's recent reluctance to eliminate the gym requirement, it is naturally assumed that the college wants all of its students to gain from the benefits and enjoyments of athletic endeavor. If this is the desire of the College, I believe that it is necessary for it to reinform the students of this fact or make it clear to whom ever is running the athletic department at Cro. If the College wishes to facilitate athletic involvement as well as meet the increasing athletic needs created by the greater male enrollment, the college should take a good look at the way the athletic department at Cro is being administered. When and if it does, the College will find a daily pattern of unnecessary conflicts between students and the department.

Rules generally are intended to be the preservers of a desired condition or structure. This is also assumed to be the purpose of rules at Cro.: to preserve the equipment and the smooth operation of the building. However, many times this is not the case. Through personal experience I have found that the rules in Cro. have sometimes been used to promote the personal biases, some racial, others social, of particular female workers. Once a white female was given an unnecessarily difficult time in trying to sign her

black male guest into the swimming pool. Later this same girl was called to Ms. Merson's office to be lectured on the undesirability of her guest. Since that time, many people, both black and white, have felt that they have been discriminated against in their dealings with the ladies in Cro. because of their skin color or life style.

Another difficult problem for students to reconcile when dealing with the athletic department at Cro. is their condescending attitude. Often when performing their jobs they make you feel very strongly that they are doing you a favor. Once a female worker at Cro. took her keys and left rather than serve a student she was in disagreement with. I am not advocating a bent knee reception, but preferably a consciousness in the workers at Cro. that they are being paid to serve you and not to dole out their infrequent maternal gifts. This condescending attitude is sometimes carried to the point that students must run up and down stairs, back and forth to dorms, in order to receive whatever she or he had requested. In some cases students must run back to dorms to find their infrequently used I.D.'s, when a myriad of cardcarrying students will vouch for in-question student's the legitimacy. If you ask for volleyball nets or for the baskets to be lowered and they don't like you, be prepared to do backflips to get what you want. This lax attitude about serving the students is further exemplified by the fact that some workers decide on a whim when they want to go home from work. If they decide they are tired of putting up with these kids, a yellow cab comes and whisks our privileges away for the night.

Still another problem is the athletic department's attitude toward the community. If it was left up to the athletic department, no one from New London would ever set their uncultured feet on our blessed College. Many times when kids from New London want to swim or play ball with their friends who attend Conn. they are refused. Sometimes this is because of the one guest per student rule which has survived from the days of ten-thirty curfews and when you had to sign your boy friend in and out. It is true that there have been some emotional confrontations with people from the community, but nothing has ever been damaged as a result of their use of our facilities. At the time of the writing of this letter there was a sign on the door of the gymnasium saying, "No Guests May Be Taken Into Gymnasium-Too Many Problems." This sign proves the point that the athletic department feels that the gym is theirs and not the students who pay to go here, and when they feel like shutting it down, they will.

Students pay thousands of dollars to go to this school and use these facilities. It should not be within the hired workers realm of authority to deem situations proper or improper. If there are any objections the athletic department has concerning the way students wish to use the athletic facilities, let them make them public. Then when students know what is expected of them and what their rights are concerning athletics, there will be less frustration and hopefully major reforms. There are many students who have positive ideas on how the athletic department should be run. Letting students direct the athletic department may seem extreme but if we logically follow the fact that the gym requirement has been eliminated because students are considered responsible enough to direct their own physical development, this notion will be viewed less radical. Whether or not students will direct the athletic department is not of primary concern here. What is of primary concern is that there are some very serious reasons for changing the athletic department's present style of administration. Charles K. Harvey



Punait,

### Hilsman on China ...

(Continued From Page 1) world situation. The country has never before been in a position of equality among other nations; it has been either in a master slave relationship or designated as the "Sick Man" of Asia. He cited Chou En-Lai's "triumphant return" to Peking. As for U.S.-Russian relations regarding China, he said that Russia, ever "haunted by a nightmare" of secret deals between the U.S. and China, must be convinced that this has not happened. Presumably, Nixon will attend to this convincing during he upcoming trip to Russia. Reporters from the local media questioned Mr. Hilsman, a "likely" Democratic candidate for Congress from the 2nd district (in which New London is located), on his opinions of Nixon's economic policy and on the flock of Democratic presidential candidates. Calling the 2nd district a "poor district in a rich state," he said that economic reasons, in the main, are prompting his probably candidacy. Beyond noting that he is a "personal friend of every major candidate" and that he would work for whomever gets the nomination, he shied away

from expressing a preference. Mr. Hilsman's background includes the positions of Director of Intelligence and Research and Associate Secretary of State under President Kennedy. He resigned from the latter position "as a result of a disagreement with President Johnson over his policy toward the Far East and Vietnam" in 1964.



### Announcing

#### Crafts Fair

The sophomore class will sponsor a Crafts Fair to be held on April 5 and 6 in the Main Lounge of Crozier-Williams. Any students interested in selling his or her jewelry, leatherwork, weaving, candles, etc., please contact Anne Swallow or Ruth Antell in K.B. Please let us know before March 13.

### Voter Registration Slated for College

Finally! With melted snow swelling freshets and a friendlier wind inviting you to doff your winter jacket for the abandon of Spring weather and the smell of growing grass and new life filling the air comes the opportunity for each individual to renew themselves anew.

This coming Tuesday morning a voter registrar will visit the college and enroll all those students interested in including a political awakening in their unbounded celebration of Spring. March now means register; a new kind of activism.

The Democratic town party is divided between the traditionally conservative elements and the challenging liberal faction. Both Joe Duffey and Eugene Mc-Carthy were narrowly defeated in the district which includes New London.

It is felt that the positive contribution the college students can make would go a long way toward resolving this deadlock. The effect of the students

voting strength would encourage greater concern on the part of the New London politicians in regard to making decisions affecting the college community.

A general primary is expected to be held shortly after Spring break, and it is imperative that students register before leaving to become eligible to cast ballots.

No individual who registers as an independent may vote in a primary, and must instead be faced with the choices of the two major parties in November.

Because students spend most of the year here in New London, the outcome of the elections will almost certainly have a greater impact upon them then those elections held in their parent's home town. The Committee for Voter Registration will be distributing candidate and issue summaries before the primary and general elections.


Make a positive effort, our united strength can be decisive. Register to vote!

A Democratic and a Republican town registrar will be in Crozier Williams from 10 a.m. to 4 p.m. Tuesday, March 14.

# CALMON JEWELERS da JEWELRY & da WATCH REPAIRS • \$\$\$ 48 State St. 443-7792

#### King Documentary

A powerful documentary representation of recent history will be shown Sunday evening, March 12, in Palmer Auditorium under the auspices of the Afro-American Society. KING: A Filmed Record...Montgomery to Memphis is a biography of the civil rights leader and of a people's movement. It was conceived by the distinguished film producer, Eli Landau, and was nominated for an Academy Award for the best documentary film of 1970. It is being released to campus audiences by the Martin Luther King Foundation. During the week of March 1 tickets at \$2.00 will be on sale at Blackstone House and during the noon hour in the lobby of Cro.



### A career in law .... without law school.

When you become a Lawyer's Assistant, you'll do work traditionally done by lawyers — work which is challenging, responsible and intellectually stimulating. Lawyer's Assistants are now so critically needed that The Institute for Paralegal Training can offer you a position in the city of your choice — and a higher starting salary than you'd expect as a recent college graduate. Here is a career as a professional with financial rewards that increase with your developing expertise.

If you are a student of high academic standing and are interested in a legal career, come speak with our representative.

> Contact the Placement Office. A representative of The Institute will visit your campus on:

#### MONDAY, MARCH 13

NOTE If the above date is inconvenient for you please call or write The Institute for information

The Institute for Paralegal Training 13th floor 401 Walnut St. Phila Pa 19106 (215) WA 5-0905

