Hilsman Discusses Nixon’s China Trip

By SUSAN BLACK

“Nixon’s Trip to China” was the subject of a talk given by Roger Hilsman, professor of Government at Columbia University, last Thursday evening in Dana Hall. Using as a vantage point his experiences in foreign affairs and government and as the author of numerous books on foreign policy, Mr. Hilsman discussed the background to China’s present situation, the reasons behind China’s invitation and President Nixon’s acceptance of it, and the future of China.

Mr. Hilsman explored the theory that the China invitation was due to purely pragmatic reasons: as a country surrounded by potentially dangerous or competitive neighbors (i.e., Japan, Russia, the U.S.), China would seek to ease tensions by making advances to one of these neighbors—in this case, the U.S. However, he held that this theory, though “valid,” was “not sufficient”: why did not China extend the invitation to Russia? He suggested that China’s motives lie deeper, in policy or strength resulting from Mao’s waning influence (listening Man to a “George Washington” or grandfather figure).

As for the President’s reasons for accepting such an invitation, Mr. Hilsman saw them as clear. He commended Nixon on this gesture of normalization, of an opening of communications (“I must approve since I called for it long ago.”), but scored him for the manner and the timing of the visit. “This was not quiet diplomacy, but TV spectators—I think it’s sad,” he said, adding that the timing for the best possible political effect was remarkable. Also, he felt that the visit should have been delayed until the Vietnam war had been settled.

“Not much” seems to sum up Mr. Hilsman’s assessment of the results of Nixon’s trip. Except for the opening of communication channels, he predicted that tourism would be the only area drastically affected, with negligible effects, at best, on our relations with Japan, Russia, and Formosa, on the Vietnam War and Hanoi (“mistrust”), and on trade. Thus he expects tangible results, rather than a solid achievement, from this trip.

However, Mr. Hilsman did not discount China as a power to be reckoned with or as a country of high military technology and development. He predicted that a ‘dual China’ will develop. On the one hand will be the peasants, tradition-bound and struggling upward, while on the other hand will be an “elite” that will form China into a nation as powerful as Japan or Great Britain.

The new leadership of China will be “ambitious to restore China to the place in the world it deserves” and also hostile at times—he was quick to add that he felt China would invade its neighbors only if the U.S. or Russia tried a similar move.

In a press conference before his talk, Mr. Hilsman made several additional points. Although he “would not make a big point of this,” Nixon did suffer a “loss of face” in going to China, rather than having Chinese representatives come to this country. He attributed this to China’s strange timing (Continued On Page 7)

Student Bill of Rights Approved by Council

The Student Assembly last week discussed and approved in theory a rough draft of a Student Bill of Rights. The statement, which was prepared by Anita DeFranz 74, will be considered by the College Council in a meeting this afternoon.

The Bill of Rights enumerates individual student rights and “freedom to learn.” This is basically a procedural document,” Anita said. She added that many of the provisions of the statement “should go without saying,” but are included in order to eliminate any confusion that might arise if the rights of students are in some way brought into question.

If it is approved by College Council, the report will be voted upon by the faculty and by the student body. Anita hopes that both groups will vote on the Student Bill of Rights during the last week of the month of April. The statement must also be ratified by the Board of Trustees before it goes into effect.

The Bill of Rights is divided into six sections, the first of which states that no student will be denied admission to the College on the basis of race or religion. The second section outlines student rights in the classroom, including rules for student organizations and the Academic Honor Committee, in the case of improper academic situation, and protection against the improper disclosure of student beliefs by faculty.

Section Three discussed the keeping of academic, disciplinary, medical, and placement records by the College and their use.

A section on Student Affairs lists the rights to join and discuss questions of interest to individual students, to invite and hear speakers without censorship by the College. Student Participation in institutional government and the right to maintain a free press and radio are also discussed.

Section five lists the points of

Theatre One to Present

“Once Upon a Mattress”

Theatre One will be presenting Once Upon a Mattress March 10 and 11 in Palmer Auditorium. The play, based on the story of the “Princess and the Pea”, is a cooperative effort of the Music and Dance Departments along with Theatre Studies majors at Connecticut College. Ted Chapin ’72 is directing the production, which is Theatre One’s first musical. Fred Grimsey is the producer.

The cast and crew have been working on the show since January. Jodie Lacey 73, who spent last semester at the National Theatre Institute, has designed the set and Mark Litvin ’73, the lights. Paul Althouse and James Bloomer are directing the music and choreography respectively. Costume coordination is being done by Half Mitten.

The cast includes, in order of appearance: Minstrel, Robert Utter; Prologue Queen, Mary Pat Arvidson; Porlogue Prince, Kevin Murray; Prologue Princess, Meva Eringen; Admiral, Christopher Kusske; Sir Howard; David Harvey; Sir Douglas; Donald Kane; Judge Utter; Prologue Queen, Mary Pat Arvidson; Porlogue Prince, Kevin Murray; Prologue Princess, Meva Eringen; Wizard, Chad Bradshaw; the Prince, Robert Himes; Queen Angela; Katharine Anne Powell; Lady Rowena; Kathy Jacobs; Prince Dauntless, Robert Himes; Queen Evangelina; Patricia Brown; King Sextimus, Michael Hunold; Lady Lucille; Holly Bannister; Lady Larken; Paula Savoie; Jester, Warren Erickson; Sir Stanley; Christopher Kuske; Sir Harry; Darie Coletta; Princess Winifred; Lili Goodman; Sir Luke; Charles Morrison; Lady Dora; Madeleine Robbins; Emily; Laurie Fjord; Lady Beatrice; Meva Eringen; Lady Angela; Katharine Anne Powell; Lady Mabelle; Laurie Fjord; Sir Howard; David Harvey; Sir Douglas; Donald Kane; Lord Patrick; Mark Wilson; Sir Harold; Kevin Murray; Sir Steven; Tucker; Hews; Sir Christopher; John Wilson; Nightengale of Samartran; Meva Eringen.

Tickets can be purchased in Crouzer-Williams today until 4:45 p.m. or Friday and Saturday in Palmer Box Office, ext. 384. Since all seats are reserved, calling in advance is advisable. Tickets are $2.50 and $2.00 for the general public and $1.50 for students and faculty with ID.
A Credibility Gap

The all-College meeting on Monday started with a brief, informative presentation of the Preliminary Budget for next year, but predictably deteriorated into a fruitless exchange of charges and countercharges.

The accusations were an inevitable result of a deplorable lack of trust between John Schwartz (perhaps he has backers on the committee, but no one chose to say so) and members of the administration. President Shain and Mr. Knight were cast as villains, paranoid of criticism and suspicious of student inquiry.

I cannot lead to believe that this is true. Perhaps they did overreact to the questionable tactics employed by Mr. Schwartz. But, after hearing both sides of the arguments, it is apparent to me that the administration behaved far less irresponsibly than its vocal opponent on the Development Committee.

The Minority Report expressed an honest difference of opinion concerning the scholarship allotment, but the methods used in presenting and publicizing these views were less than prudent. I would have hoped (and would still be very useful) to more specifically define the function of the Development Committee. Should the Committee make general recommendations, or should it analyze the budget in detail? (And is it capable of conducting a thorough analysis of such a large and complex budget?)

The question of confidentiality should have been—and should be—resolved. In theory, it sounds good to say that the College must be made aware of committee activities, but it has been demonstrated that broadcasting issues and opinions can make a shambles of the committee's effectiveness. Many members of the committee felt that Mr. Schwartz was obliged to consult them before taking information to the Trustees or the rest of the College.

Finally, there is no reason to believe that the administration isn't just as concerned about keeping costs down as the students are. For students to ask to play some part in preparing the budget through the committee system is reasonable: demanding to see the entire budget (and thus implicitly asserting that the administration is being lax in cutting costs) is not.

Majority Speaks

To the Editor:

In response to the article in the March 2 issue of Pundit by Pat Whitaker and Mary Cerretto concerning the Administrative Committee, the following points should be stated for clarification:

1) Student representation did not occur as part of the O'shawke Committee. The Committee to Study Student Representation on College Committees (known as the O'shawke Committee), composed of faculty, students, and administration, was appointed by the President in March 1969. The O'shawke Committee report was subsequently submitted to the faculty for discussion in October and was voted approval on January 7, 1970.

2) The student members of the O'shawke Committee unanimously presented the recommendation that student representatives on the Administration Committee participate in those discussions which concerned their particular areas of interest, and participation was viewed as a violation of the students' rights of confidentiality.

3) As soon as students were elected to the Administration Committee, the percentage of full student participation was raised and was re-directed to the O'shawke Committee. On November 4, 1970, voted overwhelmingly to maintain that right of confidentiality by reaffirming that "student members of the Administration Committee participate in all considerations of policy but not in the consideration of student or faculty personal areas of interest." It may be helpful to point out that the primary function of the Administration Committee is to evaluate and execute the established college regulations rather than to initiate innovations.

The Administration Committee

Library Seating

To the Editors:

I endorse the opinions you express in your editorial in the March 2 PUNDIT about our plans for a new library building, but I feel obliged to clarify the complexity involved in seating in the present and proposed buildings. The 500 seats referred to as the number planned for the new building were those actually taken those in Palmer Library (370) by 136 instead of the "less than 50" which makes the adequacy of the projected seating capacity at Conn. doubly true, that it was set when anticipated maximum enrollment by 1980 was 3,000 students. That maximum is now expected to approach 2,500, and the new library is supposed to be sufficient without expansion for at least another ten years beyond that date.

Neither the library staff nor the

Visiting VIP's

Ambassador Karim

His Excellency, Enayat Karim, the Ambassador designate of the Government of Bangladesh to the United States, will address the College on "The Birth of Bangladesh" in Palmer Auditorium on Wednesday, March 15, at 8:00 p.m.

The Ambassador studied Economics at Dacca University, where he received his Bachelor's and Master's degrees. From 1950-52, he was a lecturer in Economics at the University of Dacca.

Karim has held numerous diplomatic posts in Pakistan in several countries, including Great Britain, India, Iran, and Burma. From 1964 to 1967, he served as Counselor of the Pakistan High Commission in New Delhi, and was Director of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs in Islamabad from 1967 to 1970. In 1970 he was appointed Minister and Head of Chancery at the Pakistan Embassy in Washington, D.C.

The Ambassador's visit is being jointly sponsored by the Department of History and Government.

William Stringfellow

William Stringfellow—lawyer, theologian, harbinger of fugitives—will be the guest preacher at College Worship this Sunday. Now a resident of Block Island, R.I., Stringfellow practised poverty law in East Harlem during the 1950's and early 60's. Nat Hentoff has written in the Nation: "Stringfellow is no liberal. He is a radically relevant Christian--an extremely rare species."

It was as such a "rare species" that Stringfellow came under special FBI surveillance recently. The Friendly Birdwatchers Institute apprehended him as "rare species." Nat Hentoff has written in the Nation: "Stringfellow is no liberal. He is a radically relevant Christian--an extremely rare species."

It was as such a "rare species" that Stringfellow came under special FBI surveillance recently. The Friendly Birdwatchers Institute apprehended him as "rare species." Nat Hentoff has written in the Nation: "Stringfellow is no liberal. He is a radically relevant Christian--an extremely rare species."

It was as such a "rare species" that Stringfellow came under special FBI surveillance recently. The Friendly Birdwatchers Institute apprehended him as "rare species." Nat Hentoff has written in the Nation: "Stringfellow is no liberal. He is a radically relevant Christian--an extremely rare species."
1972-73 Budget Summary

By Allen Carroll

At an all-college meeting on Monday afternoon, President Charles Shain and Treasurer and Business Manager Leroy Knight presented a summary of the preliminary budget for the 1972-'73 year.

The $8,761,900 budget represents an increase of six per cent over the revised budget of $8,578,606 for 1971-'72. Knight stated that in the past five years, the budget has increased by $2.2 million, or 31 per cent. "The budget has...barely kept pace with the increase in the cost of living," he said.

A large portion of the increase in expenditures of $238,900 from this year to next year is in salaries and wages and student aid. The 1972-'73 budget allotment for salaries and wages is $692,200 over this year's allotment; student aid has increased by $146,100. Staff benefits have increased by $68,400, and other increases in expenditures amount to $54,300.

"It is a very lean, hard budget, and shows very little increase in expenditures other than for people," Knight said. 69 per cent or $6.4 million of the preliminary budget has been allocated for "people" (including wages and salaries, student aid, etc.). $9.8 million is designated for "things".

Knight compared the preliminary budget with this year's revised budget and the actual budgets as far back as 1968-'69. The program for next year reflects what we have been doing for the past five years pretty systematically," he stated.

The percentage of the College's income that is provided by students has increased in the past five years. In 1968-'69, room and board and tuition and fees made up 76 per cent of the total income of the College. This has increased to 77 per cent for the 1972-'73 school year.

"The endowment has grown little if any (over the past five years)," Knight said. Gifts have doubled, accounting for the elimination of the budget deficit. The College hopes to complete both this year and next year with a balanced budget. Shain stressed the importance of not depleting our reserves, which are now less than $1 million, down from $3.5 million five years ago.

The physical plant budget has increased from approximately $770,000 in 1968-'69 to $893,000. This included increases in expenditures on grounds general expenses, and staff benefits. Expenditures on buildings have decreased from $776,000 to $844,000. A relatively large increase in the power house budget (from $235,000 to $259,000) is chiefly due to rising costs of utilities and fuel.

The projected total student aid for 1972-'73 is about $714,000, $100,000 of which is included in the preliminary budget (the remainder of student aid includes loans, co-op, and student employment). This figure represents an increase of approximately $174,000 over this year's total student aid.

To clarify the figures presented with this article, Knight said: "To permit comparability of previous data with the Preliminary 1972-73 budget, the data for the years 1968-'69 through 1971-'72 has been adjusted to conform to the new budget format as authorized by the Trustees for the Preliminary 1972-73 Budget. These adjustments relate to the budgets for the Operation and Maintenance of Physical Plant, Staff Benefits, Auxiliary Enterprises and Transfers. These adjustments have been included in all Table One." Following the budget presentation, John Schwartz '72, Co-chairman of the Development Committee, briefly replied to Schwartz's speech, saying that the committee had in the past been "strictly an advisory committee". The committee had failed to firmly "establish an identity," he said. Opinions differed over whether to attempt a detailed analysis of the budget or to make general recommendations as it had done in the past.

In a question-and-answer period that followed, members of the audience inquired about specific aspects of the Preliminary Budget, and discussed the Development Committee controversy with Shain, Knight, and the Co-chairmen.

Table One

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONNECTICUT COLLEGE</th>
<th>1971-1972</th>
<th>1972-1973</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUMMARY OF PRELIMINARY ESTIMATE OF BUDGET</strong></td>
<td><strong>REVISED PRELIMINARY BUDGET</strong></td>
<td><strong>BUDGET</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>REVENUE AND APPROPRIATION</strong></td>
<td><strong>ACTUAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>BUDGET</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational and General</td>
<td>$4,038,139</td>
<td>$4,566,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student tuition and fees</td>
<td>487,600</td>
<td>491,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endowment income</td>
<td>629,000</td>
<td>790,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gifts</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>160,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsored research</td>
<td>144,536</td>
<td>145,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other sponsored programs</td>
<td>173,384</td>
<td>162,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organized activities relating to educational departments</td>
<td>356,333</td>
<td>341,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other sources</td>
<td>76,406</td>
<td>76,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Educational and General</td>
<td>$6,001,600</td>
<td>$6,487,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary Enterprises</td>
<td>2,224,100</td>
<td>2,368,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Revenue</td>
<td>$8,225,200</td>
<td>$8,686,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriation from Capital Surplus</td>
<td>467,492</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Revenue and Appropriation</td>
<td>$8,761,900</td>
<td>$8,791,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EXPENDITURES AND TRANSFERS**

| Educational and General | $2,025,200 | $2,549,800 |
| Instructional and departmental research | 195,000 |
| Humanities-Upward Bound programs | 190,000 |
| Organized activities relating to educational departments | 145,000 |
| Student services | 473,200 |
| Operation and maintenance of physical plant | 940,175 |
| General administration | 247,734 |
| Staff benefits | 618,727 |
| General institutional | 593,476 |
| Total Educational and General | 5,799,784 | $5,917,500 |
| Student Aid | 745,900 | 906,300 |
| Auxiliary Enterprises | 1,864,161 | 1,954,300 |
| Total Expenditures less transfers | $8,222,495 | $8,605,800 |
| Transfers | 296,111 | 156,100 |
| Total Expenditures and Transfers | $8,578,606 | $8,761,900 | $9,291,800 |

"I think we should continue to work to see the budget proposals of the minority report enacted," he said.

"I am very pleased that they found a way to make the budget proposal," said Hames. "It is a very good budget, and shows very little increase in expenditures other than for people," Knight said. 69 per cent or $6.4 million of the preliminary budget has been allocated for "people" (including wages and salaries, student aid, etc.). $9.3 million is designated for "things".

Knight compared the preliminary budget with this year's revised budget and the actual budgets as far back as 1968-'69. The program for next year reflects what we have been doing for the past five years pretty systematically," he stated.

The percentage of the College's income that is provided by students has increased in the past five years. In 1968-'69, room and board and tuition and fees made up 76 per cent of the total income of the College. This has increased to 77 per cent for the 1972-'73 school year.

"The endowment has grown little if any (over the past five years)," Knight said. Gifts have doubled, accounting for the elimination of the budget deficit. The College hopes to complete both this year and next year with a balanced budget. Shain stressed the importance of not depleting our reserves, which are now less than $1 million, down from $3.5 million five years ago.

The physical plant budget has increased from approximately $770,000 in 1968-'69 to $893,000. This included increases in expenditures on grounds general expenses, and staff benefits. Expenditures on buildings have decreased from $776,000 to $844,000. A relatively large increase in the power house budget (from $235,000 to $259,000) is chiefly due to rising costs of utilities and fuel.

The projected total student aid for 1972-'73 is about $714,000, $100,000 of which is included in the preliminary budget (the remainder of student aid includes loans, co-op, and student employment). This figure represents an increase of approximately $174,000 over this year's total student aid.

To clarify the figures presented with this article, Knight said: "To permit comparability of previous data with the Preliminary 1972-73 budget, the data for the years 1968-'69 through 1971-'72 has been adjusted to conform to the new budget format as authorized by the Trustees for the Preliminary 1972-73 Budget. These adjustments relate to the budgets for the Operation and Maintenance of Physical Plant, Staff Benefits, Auxiliary Enterprises and Transfers. These adjustments have been included in all Table One." Following the budget presentation, John Schwartz '72, Co-chairman of the Development Committee, briefly replied to Schwartz's speech, saying that the committee had in the past been "strictly an advisory committee". The committee had failed to firmly "establish an identity," he said. Opinions differed over whether to attempt a detailed analysis of the budget or to make general recommendations as it had done in the past.

In a question-and-answer period that followed, members of the audience inquired about specific aspects of the Preliminary Budget, and discussed the Development Committee controversy with Shain, Knight, and the Co-chairmen.
At All-College Meeting

TABLE TWO
TOTAL INCREASE IN EXPENDITURES
1968-69 to 1972-73

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>1968-69</th>
<th>1972-73</th>
<th>Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instruction &amp; Related</td>
<td>$669,000</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsored Programs</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>$105,000</td>
<td>$105,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Services</td>
<td>$145,000</td>
<td>$145,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Plant</td>
<td>$123,000</td>
<td>$123,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Administration</td>
<td>$67,000</td>
<td>$67,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Institutional</td>
<td>$328,000</td>
<td>$328,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Aid</td>
<td>$432,000</td>
<td>$432,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary Enterprises</td>
<td>$202,000</td>
<td>$202,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$2,196,000</td>
<td>$2,196,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL EXPENDITURES
1972-73 $9.3M

TOTAL EXPENDITURES
1972-73 $9.3M

TOTAL INCOME
1972-73 $7.1M

ENDOWMENT 7.2

STUDENTS

TUITION & FEES

1968-69

1972-73
Schwartz vs Shain

To the Editor:

Before the minority report was published, President Shain informed me that he considered the comparative data it contained misleading. He claimed that the figures from correspondence with Mr. Hickson, the vice-president for finance from Fairfield University, and the same man from whom I received my original data. I said that I was willing to examine anything he considered inaccurate in my report and requested a copy of his correspondence. President Shain refused to give me access to the information, stating that it was contained in letters addressed to the treasurer. Later, the treasurer also refused to supply copies of this correspondence.

Faced with a dead end here, I called Mr. Hickson at Fairfield. Though he had been cooperative at our first meeting and had acknowledged receipt of a copy of my final comparative report in a friendly letter, he now refused to speak with me despite repeated phone calls. I concluded that his current refusal to cooperate was a result of conversations with our administrators, and I appealed to them to help obtain information to correct the reported inaccuracies in my figures. They refused, stating that Hickson did not want to become "involved" in a dispute between our administration and students. It is evident that their concept of involvement is to supply the administrators with detailed information while denying it to students.

John Schwartz '72

Crozier Restrictions

To the Editor:

I believe that the athletic department at Crozier-Williams has not only fallen short of fulfilling its duties to the students of Crozier-Williams and because of its present state of operation it is in direct conflict with the purpose of the College. The athletic department is striving to attain in the near future. The purpose of this letter is to bring to light the conflicts the athletic department at Crozier-Williams by stating the situation as seen by this student.

Reviewing the College's recent reluctance to eliminate the gym requirement, it is naturally assumed that the college wants all of its students to gain from the benefits and enjoyments of athletic endeavors. If this is the case I would agree that the instruction has probably more need for physical plant expenditures than Fairfield and Connecticut for lack of information.

In response to his charges that I presented my facts in a "dishonest and irresponsible manner," I can say that he was fully informed of my information before it was presented. His allegations, on the other hand, were printed in the Pundit and made before the trustees without my consultation or prior knowledge.

In a recent letter to his constituents, I live in Larabee 107 and my phone number is 147-8216. Please get in touch with me if you have any comments or questions.

John Schwartz '72

DINGLEBERRY

LET'S EAT!

OR, TAMERLANE
WHAT DO YOU THINK OF VEGETARIANISM?

I DON'T KNOW! IT SEEMS TO ME IT'S ALONG WITH MODERN AND FORD WATCHING!

THAT SOUNDS GROOVY! YEAH! LET'S TRY IT!

WOAH! BAD CRAMPS, AND A FEVER!

I DON'T KNOW! I ALSO HAD CRAMPS, AND A FEVER!

THAT PLAYS THE GAME. HUMOROUS GIVE BACK THE HUMOR RUBDOWN, AND HE BROKE HIS NECK TRYING TO LICK IT OFF!

THAT SOUNDS LIKE SOMETHING MY UNCLE ONCE HAD! MY MOTHER GAVE HIM RUBDOWN, AND HE BROKE HIS NECK TRYING TO LICK IT OFF!

SEVERE FUNNY!

LOOK! THERE'S A DOUBLE-BREASTED SEERSUCKER!

THAT WAS SOMETHING BAD!

DARNED IF I KNOW!

JOE B. 1-12
Announcing

Crafts Fair

The sophomore class will sponsor a Crafts Fair to be held on April 5 and 6 in the Main Lounge of Crozier-Williams. Any students interested in selling his or her jewelry, leather-work, weaving, candles, etc., please contact Anne Swallow or Ruth Antell in K.B. Please let us know before March 13.

King Documentary

A powerful documentary representation of recent history will be shown Sunday evening, March 12, in Palmer Auditorium under the auspices of the Afro-American Society. KING: A Filmed Record...Montgomery to Memphis is a biography of the civil rights leader and of a people's movement. It was conceived by the distinguished film producer, Eli Landau, and was nominated for an Academy Award for the best documentary film of 1970. It is being released to campus audiences by the Martin Luther King Foundation. During the week of March 1 tickets at $2.00 will be on sale at Blackstone House and during the noon hour in the lobby of Cro.

FREE DRINK WITH LUNCH.

Buy anything, and we'll throw in a drink Free!
MORNINGSIDES STABLES
564-2585 ARENA 564-5503
Weston Road, Plainfield
Special Low Rates To
Connecticut College Students!
OPEN SEVEN DAYS A WEEK - 10 to 10!

Complete Western and English Clothing
• Trail Rides • Winter Sports
• Riding Lessons • Hay Rides
• Board Horses

$350 DESPERATELY NEEDED
Those wishing to contribute
to make up the deficit of
'The Fabulous Motels'
Contact Gene Jacobson, Park House

RIB 'N EMBERS
Special Student "Happy Hour"

Friday and Saturday
from 9 p.m. to 11 p.m.
get high for 65¢ a drink
must have student ID
Number One Meridian St.
Inside Mohican Hotel

CELEBRATE WITH
WINE
A. Gordon & Sons
YELLOW FRONT
PACKAGE STORE
401 Williams Street
Telephone: 443-9780