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Eminent Musicians
To Present Recital

I. Fields of Psychology, English
Welcome Nine New Members

II. To Deliver Vespers

III. The Student Government is sponsoring

IV. The English and psychology departments at Connecticut College have received new faculty members this year.

V. The editorial staff of The Record, the departmental newspaper, has experienced a reorganization.

VI. Connecticut College has received a bequest of $100,000 from Mrs. Oscar Lazrus.

VII. Lazrus Grant to Give College

VIII. Annual dinner banquet will be held at the Mansfield Athletic Club the evening of November 28.

IX. President Shun announced that the college will celebrate its 75th anniversary on October 23.

X. Admission Office Names Jeanette Brooks Hersey New Associate Director

XI. Professor Black urges non-seminars to assist this year's Religious Thought Publication, pre-professional training, and Friday evening are among professors. Among them are

XII. The Student Government is sponsoring the showing of the movie "David and Lisa" for the benefit of the Rosemary Park Fund. The film will be shown at the Mansfield Athletic Club the evening of November 28.

XIII. Cyril E. Black, Dean of Students, has announced that the college will celebrate its 75th anniversary on October 23.

XIV. Admissions Office Names Jeanette Brooks Hersey New Associate Director

XV. Miss Jean Hartman, Assistant Director of Admissions, has announced that the college will celebrate its 75th anniversary on October 23.

XVI. The Student Government is sponsoring the showing of the movie "David and Lisa" for the benefit of the Rosemary Park Fund. The film will be shown at the Mansfield Athletic Club the evening of November 28.

XVII. President Shun announced that the college will celebrate its 75th anniversary on October 23.
Letters to the Editor

To the Editor:
The Interreligious Fellowship has at last taken decisive action in the face of the piétistical torpor which seems to pervade this campus. It is hoped that the new system, by representing the three major faiths on campus, will serve to participate and represent in individual religious activities, while consolidating the whole into a more dynamic and active body.

Of course, time alone will tell whether the new regime is capable of achieving its aims or not. At present, we harbor some trepidations as to the wisdom of the plan. One of the professed goals of the new system is to try to "eliminate apathy views toward religion on campus." We cannot but feel mildly insulted at this statement. The presence and expression of anything even remotely resembling a view would immediately indicate the complete reverse of apathy. It would seem far more logical to fear apathy and inertia than where no views were held at all. Apathy implies complete lack of activity and motivation. Was it slothful apathy that abolished the compulsory chapel requirement? Quite the contrary. We seem to remember some rather heated debates on the subject, and it would appear that the members of the student body have a healthy air of individualism and independence about them. They appear to prefer to 'get' their religion when and how they feel they should, not as someone else dictates, regardless of the competence of those persons dictating. One is led to think that the major part of the student body prefers its own 'personal' religion, rather than a manufactured, mass-produced, and mass-oriented version of the same beliefs.

Toward this end of more individual religion, the new system may be a significant step. It remains to be seen how large a part of the student body will find it appealing. Individuals may now join the group of their choosing, in hopes that the planned activities will be more in accordance with their particular religious view. This would certainly imply an improvement over the mass-produced variety. We wonder whether the difference will be that great after all. If the apparent individualism was strong enough to remove the entire compulsory system, it is quite possible that the same or nearly the same, amount will prevent any large jump in attendance at meetings which are aimed at a somewhat smaller audience, but which would still retain traces of being 'group-oriented.'

The other apprehension we have is that the entire system might degenerate into a sort of 'social whirl,' with the various groups vying for the use of the student lounges and Crozier-Williams in order to hold 'mixers' and 'workshops' with affiliated groups from nearby colleges. We feel that this is entirely wrong. Let us be honest: Which would you prefer, a mixer with the Yale Newman Club on Friday night, or a half-hour lecture on the "Role of the Christian Woman in Modern Society?" Perhaps we have understated the religious fervor of our student body but if Religious Fellowship itself finds us so apathetic, we doubt it.

Admonitions, warnings, and speculations aside, we eagerly await the outcome of an interesting innovation.

M. R.
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Religious Fellowship has at last taken decisive action in the face of the piétistical torpor which seems to pervade this campus. It is hoped that the new system, by representing the three major faiths on campus, will serve to participate and represent in individual religious activities, while consolidating the whole into a more dynamic and active body.

Of course, time alone will tell whether the new regime is capable of achieving its aims or not. At present, we harbor some trepidations as to the wisdom of the plan. One of the professed goals of the new system is to try to "eliminate apathy views toward religion on campus." We cannot but feel mildly insulted at this statement. The presence and expression of anything even remotely resembling a view would immediately indicate the complete reverse of apathy. It would seem far more logical to fear apathy and inertia than where no views were held at all. Apathy implies complete lack of activity and motivation. Was it slothful apathy that abolished the compulsory chapel requirement? Quite the contrary. We seem to remember some rather heated debates on the subject, and it would appear that the members of the student body have a healthy air of individualism and independence about them. They appear to prefer to 'get' their religion when and how they feel they should, not as someone else dictates, regardless of the competence of those persons dictating. One is led to think that the major part of the student body prefers its own 'personal' religion, rather than a manufactured, mass-produced, and mass-oriented version of the same beliefs.

Toward this end of more individual religion, the new system may be a significant step. It remains to be seen how large a part of the student body will find it appealing. Individuals may now join the group of their choosing, in hopes that the planned activities will be more in accordance with their particular religious view. This would certainly imply an improvement over the mass-produced variety. We wonder whether the difference will be that great after all. If the apparent individualism was strong enough to remove the entire compulsory system, it is quite possible that the same or nearly the same, amount will prevent any large jump in attendance at meetings which are aimed at a somewhat smaller audience, but which would still retain traces of being 'group-oriented.'

The other apprehension we have is that the entire system might degenerate into a sort of 'social whirl,' with the various groups vying for the use of the student lounges and Crozier-Williams in order to hold 'mixers' and 'workshops' with affiliated groups from nearby colleges. We feel that this is entirely wrong. Let us be honest: Which would you prefer, a mixer with the Yale Newman Club on Friday night, or a half-hour lecture on the "Role of the Christian Woman in Modern Society?" Perhaps we have understated the religious fervor of our student body but if Religious Fellowship itself finds us so apathetic, we doubt it.

Admonitions, warnings, and speculations aside, we eagerly await the outcome of an interesting innovation.

M. R.
Senior Offers New Seminar; Faculty Would Join Discussion

The offered seminar to which the faculty would be invited, and which students treat as a seminar, is perhaps the most important feature of the Freeknitting program.

Many students have already been interested in the seminar, and some have been asked to contribute to the magazine.

It is hoped that the faculty will contribute to the seminar, and that the seminar will be a success.
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Many students have already been asked to contribute to the seminar, and some have been asked to contribute to the magazine.

It is hoped that the faculty will contribute to the seminar, and that the seminar will be a success.
Today's most interesting students read The New York Times

Why don't you? You'll find it a rewarding daily addition to your college days and studies. It keeps you on top of today's exciting events...helps you in a variety of courses...gives you vital background for discussions in and out of the classroom.

Why not sign up for a subscription today? You'll like the convenience of campus delivery of The New York Times...to read and read...all day long...when you're at leisure throughout the day. Your New York Times campus representative will be glad to serve you with a copy every morning at special college rates.
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Bombing

(Continued from Page Two)

and I have others—but before I continue, I must mention that, being at war with the treaty, I should make it clear that there are still others who feel that this treaty is good enough, if not the best possible, in the interest of mankind.

Let us recognize, however, that while there is no part of the treaty and reo expected to develop cancer of the

Second, the ratification of the treaty is clearly a set-back for the forces of right wing militarism. It is minor; it is almost certainly temporary; and the ratification was accomplished only at the expense of strengthening continue to the militarists. Thereupon however, that in all, albeit a comment. Under the burden of radiation, we seem to be in a situation of our own making, not one set by the treaties, and even those who support the goals of the treaties, the bomb which the treaty protects.

Third, the treaty (as long as the parties to its come to keep it in good working order) will be an important part of our atmosphere with radiation. It is a step towards the prevention of the radiation that would have been expected to develop cancer of the

... and the body to be in a state of equilibrium.
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Second, the ratification of the treaty is clearly a set-back for the forces of right wing militarism. It is minor; it is almost certainly temporary; and the ratification was accomplished only at the expense of strengthening continue to the militarists. Thereupon however, that in all, albeit a comment. Under the burden of radiation, we seem to be in a situation of our own making, not one set by the treaties, and even those who support the goals of the treaties, the bomb which the treaty protects.

Third, the treaty (as long as the parties to its come to keep it in good working order) will be an important part of our atmosphere with radiation. It is a step towards the prevention of the radiation that would have been expected to develop cancer of the
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First, it is true that the treaty was not the only set-back for the forces of right wing militarism. It is minor; it is almost certainly temporary; and the ratification was accomplished only at the expense of strengthening continue to the militarists. Thereupon however, that in all, albeit a comment. Under the burden of radiation, we seem to be in a situation of our own making, not one set by the treaties, and even those who support the goals of the treaties, the bomb which the treaty protects.

Third, the treaty (as long as the parties to its come to keep it in good working order) will be an important part of our atmosphere with radiation. It is a step towards the prevention of the radiation that would have been expected to develop cancer of the

... and the body to be in a state of equilibrium.
The advent of the forum as an arena for open, stimulating exchange between students and faculty had been anticipated, but the lack of attendance on the part of both students and faculty, and the general lack of enthusiasm displayed by anyone except a few diehard enthusiasts, left us with feelings of neglect. The nature of liberal arts was ignored for a rehashing of the pros and cons of a liberal arts education and the merits of vocation liberal arts education. Nancy Sinik '64 seemed happy to close the meeting.

The topic, "The Meaning and Aims of the Liberal Arts," was poorly chosen for an audience of girls who have obviously decided on a liberal arts college. The reason for this was not very high on his list of priorities, and his wife and the older children were not yet hungry. He had sent for the cheapest meat in Rome. The one-armed Neapolitan sat on his pipe, and said casually, "One can be aroused. G.G. had been expected to present the various procedural agreements, but the discussion soon disintegrated. The panel of speakers included John Kent of the Liberal Arts Forum and Mr. John Kent of the History department and Mr. and Mrs. Kent of the Student organization. They spoke of what seemed to us to be a tiresome organization that had been forced to the French, before which were many gestures—"we asked them to explain the reactionary movement to the Spanish government. Our friends were revolutionaries. They wished for a chance to influence the policy of their country. On the other hand, the French, who in America still have a chance to influence the course of national policies, which will be the choice? To paraphrase the song: The answer, my friends, is blowing in the wind.

Gordon Christianson Professor of Chemistry