Lions, tigers, and bears, oh my!

Wild animals roam the Crystal Mall

by Gregg Levin

THE COLLEGE VOICE

Anybody wish to remove their presence from this godforsaken capsule? (Indeed, godforsaken after 3 months?)

A short drive to Boston may satisfy this dream, but those not blessed with the now so-valueable car or a reliable cash flow are forced to take refuge within the savior, "Canal van," and his first and foremost destination, the Crystal Mall.

Yet the so-called quaint, prime sociological example of a civilized community has suddenly reared an ugly head. What exactly was that disconcerting roar echoing through those hallways? Did you hear low rumblings, perhaps, coupled with stories of odd sightings from fellow mall-goers?

Yes, that lady you may have seen did actually witness a panda bear singing through the hallways. And yes, that old man who you thought may have seen one to many years perhaps did see a tiger, eyes aglow, with a child on its back.

Indeed, the exotic animals of the far away lands of Africa and Asia have visited New London's very own Crystal Mall. Often compared to those small furry stuffed animal toys that walk around by themselves making those annoying synthesized bark-like sounds, these robo-animals (called X Animals, created by Planet X, Inc.) are designed to carry over 250 lbs each of human on their back.

The sign itself states quite seriously that the ride is for "children and adults."" But when I stepped in line for a short ride around the mall’s center, I could not help but notice the disturbed glances that I attracted at the moment. Parents gave a light smile that mimicked a grimace, while kids just lock wide-eyed. Was I missing some type of more that suggested college students cannot have fun too?

After paying a $2.50 admission, the attendant led me to the animals. (Of course, this was a rather normal occurrence to the patient soul, as his calm manner illustrated. He’s seen freaks like me, college dudes perhaps going into some kind of mind de-evolutionary process after seeing the dawn of barbaric reality.)

Conn network picks up speed by President Claire Gaudiani.

Polish dissident receives honorary degree

by Mitchell Polatin

THE COLLEGE VOICE

"Communism was like a freezer, an empire of evil" explained Adam Michnik, a human rights activist who grew up in the midst of Communist Poland, during his acceptance speech Thursday night. Connecticut College presented Michnik with the degree of Doctor of Humane Letters, Honoris Causa.

Michnik has dedicated his life to the fight for democracy across the world. He is a human rights activist, journalist, historian, political commentator and author.

At the age of 15, Michnik organized "Seekers of Contradictions," a discussion group in his middle school. This group questioned the social and political structure of Poland.

In college, Michnik continued to fight the communist government of Poland. He was expelled from school and jailed. Michnik spent a total of six years in prison.

After he was released from prison Michnik continued to fight for democracy. Michnik went on to form the Committee for the Defense of Workers. It was a social support group that provided financial, legal and medical aid to workers.

Michnik played an integral part in the Solidarity movement, which led to the return of Democracy in Poland. Michnik also serves as the editor in chief of Gazeta Wyborcza, the most widely read newspaper in Europe.

I was able to sit down with Michnik, prior to the honorary dedication to discuss his life and goals.

Poland: "Reading and writing were my main activities, prison was my best university. A friend of mine describes prison as a fantastic place, no boxes, women or phones. Actually, it was horrible. But it was no Auschwitz."

I asked Michnik if he saw the affects of his work spanning the globe, and influencing other countries.

"I have no doubt about the spread of solidarity. Solidarity is the beginning of the end of communism. Solidarity is supported by the working people, the supposed main supporters of communism. One must learn about democracy time and time again. Democracy equals freedom, one can’t exist without freedom.

"I was talking with a friend in Japan. Japan has a democracy, but no freedom. His reverse for us, we have freedom but not as much democracy. I travel throughout the world and listen to people speak about solidarity in the most important idea in the second half of the 20th century."

Michnik has accomplished an astounding amount, in the area of democracy and human rights. I asked him what in his past is his proudest accomplishment.

"Ofcourse, it is the doctorate that I will receive here at Connecticut. But really it is the fact that communism is not with us anymore. After all, one can not really live with communism, communism will kill us, or we will kill communism."
Conn network picks up speed

by Joshua Friedlander

THE COLLEGE VOICE

The latest volume of the Connecticut College Magazine detailed the importance of Internet access to Conn students. In its conclusion, the article touched upon plans that the college has for expanding its networking capabilities.

For some time now, Conn has had a symbiotic, networking relationship with both Trinity and Wesleyan colleges. The Connecticut Wesleyan (CTW) connection is in place to provide students with access to the libraries and various Internet resources of the three schools. Now, the system has worked as most any other Internet hookup, with our computers accessing the other schools through the normal Internet procedures. The plan, as of now, is to significantly speed up this process, i.e., speed up the connection by forming a private network consisting of only the three schools.

At this point, Connecticut College dials out into the Internet through a 56 kibyrate connection via an Internet server to which both Conn and Trinity subscribe. Both the relatively slow speed of the connection and various bottlenecks along the line have kept communications sluggish. As a result, even the inter-school connection operates below its desired rate. Additionally, maintaining only one line to the Internet is risky. Any problems with that singular link could conceivably shut down Internet access for the whole campus. A chilling thought, when one considers that the Internet is, for many students, almost as important a research tool as the library.

The Office of Information Services and the heads of computing at Conn have devised a plan to address these problems. As of around the time that students return from winter break, the college will be connected directly to Trinity College by a new T1 Internet connection. This new connection will give Conn and Trinity improved access to each other and, for a mere $2,500, form a private network consisting of only the three schools. At this point, Connecticut College dials out into the Internet through a 56 kibyrate connection via an Internet server to which both Conn and Trinity subscribe. Both the relatively slow speed of the connection and various bottlenecks along the line have kept communications sluggish. As a result, even the inter-school connection operates below its desired rate. Additionally, maintaining only one line to the Internet is risky. Any problems with that singular link could conceivably shut down Internet access for the whole campus. A chilling thought, when one considers that the Internet is, for many students, almost as important a research tool as the library.

The Office of Information Services and the heads of computing at Conn have devised a plan to address these problems. As of around the time that students return from winter break, the college will be connected directly to Trinity College by a new T1 Internet connection. This new connection will give Conn and Trinity improved access to each other and, for a mere $2,500, form a private network consisting of only the three schools.

This new link will only begin the process of bad a symbiotic, networking relationship with Trinity and Conn share the same Internet provider, the new hookup will improve our Internet access ten times significantly, even though the T1 line transfers information at up to 1.55 megabytes per second.

The latest volume of the Connecticut College Magazine detailed the importance of Internet access to Conn students. In its conclusion, the article touched upon plans that the college has for expanding its networking capabilities.

For some time now, Conn has had a symbiotic, networking relationship with both Trinity and Wesleyan colleges. The Connecticut Wesleyan (CTW) connection is in place to provide students with access to the libraries and various Internet resources of the three schools. Now, the system has worked as most any other Internet hookup, with our computers accessing the other schools through the normal Internet procedures. The plan, as of now, is to significantly speed up this process, i.e., speed up the connection by forming a private network consisting of only the three schools. At this point, Connecticut College dials out into the Internet through a 56 kibyrate connection via an Internet server to which both Conn and Trinity subscribe. Both the relatively slow speed of the connection and various bottlenecks along the line have kept communications sluggish. As a result, even the inter-school connection operates below its desired rate. Additionally, maintaining only one line to the Internet is risky. Any problems with that singular link could conceivably shut down Internet access for the whole campus. A chilling thought, when one considers that the Internet is, for many students, almost as important a research tool as the library.

The Office of Information Services and the heads of computing at Conn have devised a plan to address these problems. As of around the time that students return from winter break, the college will be connected directly to Trinity College by a new T1 Internet connection. This new connection will give Conn and Trinity improved access to each other and, for a mere $2,500, form a private network consisting of only the three schools. At this point, Connecticut College dials out into the Internet through a 56 kibyrate connection via an Internet server to which both Conn and Trinity subscribe. Both the relatively slow speed of the connection and various bottlenecks along the line have kept communications sluggish. As a result, even the inter-school connection operates below its desired rate. Additionally, maintaining only one line to the Internet is risky. Any problems with that singular link could conceivably shut down Internet access for the whole campus. A chilling thought, when one considers that the Internet is, for many students, almost as important a research tool as the library.

The Office of Information Services and the heads of computing at Conn have devised a plan to address these problems. As of around the time that students return from winter break, the college will be connected directly to Trinity College by a new T1 Internet connection. This new connection will give Conn and Trinity improved access to each other and, for a mere $2,500, form a private network consisting of only the three schools. At this point, Connecticut College dials out into the Internet through a 56 kibyrate connection via an Internet server to which both Conn and Trinity subscribe. Both the relatively slow speed of the connection and various bottlenecks along the line have kept communications sluggish. As a result, even the inter-school connection operates below its desired rate. Additionally, maintaining only one line to the Internet is risky. Any problems with that singular link could conceivably shut down Internet access for the whole campus. A chilling thought, when one considers that the Internet is, for many students, almost as important a research tool as the library.

The Office of Information Services and the heads of computing at Conn have devised a plan to address these problems. As of around the time that students return from winter break, the college will be connected directly to Trinity College by a new T1 Internet connection. This new connection will give Conn and Trinity improved access to each other and, for a mere $2,500, form a private network consisting of only the three schools. At this point, Connecticut College dials out into the Internet through a 56 kibyrate connection via an Internet server to which both Conn and Trinity subscribe. Both the relatively slow speed of the connection and various bottlenecks along the line have kept communications sluggish. As a result, even the inter-school connection operates below its desired rate. Additionally, maintaining only one line to the Internet is risky. Any problems with that singular link could conceivably shut down Internet access for the whole campus. A chilling thought, when one considers that the Internet is, for many students, almost as important a research tool as the library.
Illness cancels Romeo and Juliet

by Jami DeSantis

THE COLLEGE VOICE

For those of you who were hop-
ing to further your study of com-
munity, Comm College's theme for the
year, you were most likely disap-
pointed when told that the Garde
Theater's production of Romeo and
Juliet had been canceled. This per-
formance, which was supposed to take
place last Friday evening, was to
be like no other production of
this play, as it was set in 1996 South
Africa and performed by the tal-
ented black actors of the Royal
Shakespeare Company of the Na-
tional Theatre of Great Britain.

When asked why the show was sud-
denly called off, the Garde Thea-
ter told me that it was due to Juliet
getting ill, which prompted the
group to cancel their tour. After all,
the show wouldn't be the same with-
out Juliet!

If you have yet to receive a refund
for your ticket, you have a few
options. If you bought your ticket
on campus, call Peggy Middleton
about refunds at extension 2710. If
you purchased a ticket from the Garde
Theater box office, you may call
them at 444-7373 to exchange your
ticket for another Garde show,
get a check refund, or get credit for
a future Garde performance. If you
are still disappointed about missing
a Romeo and Juliet activity, keep
your eyes and ears open, as more
activities surrounding this piece are
coming up.

Ransom deserving of movielgoer payoffs

by Christopher Moje

THE COLLEGE VOICE

Ron Howard's latest film, Ran-
som, is built upon the honored
premise of a family's struggle to
treach their child from the grips of
a kidnapper. The fact that Howard
gives the film a few new twists
makes this often told story worth
watching. The story is about the
kidnapping of Sean Mullen, the son
of Entrepreneur Airlines mogul Tom
Mullen (Meln Gibson) and his wife,
Kate (Rene Russo). Sean is kid-
napped from a junior high school
bus in Central Park by a team of
kidnappers. This team is headed by
a corrupt member of the NYPD,
Johnny Shakar (Gary Sinise). Shakar
and his four accomplices have mas-
ter-minded this scheme to extract
two million dollars from Mullen for
financial gain. Additionally, more
importantly, to teach him a lesson.
It seems Mullen has resorted to
business to save his company and
someone else took the fall. Shakar
figured if Mullen will pay exorbit-
ant amounts of cash to save his
airline and himself, surely he'd
willing to pay as much, if not more,
to save his son. The plot takes sev-
eral interesting twists and turns and
ends on a somewhat predictable and
anti-climactic note. The action
which preceded it was far better in
entertainment, and Howard prob-
cably could have ended the film much
easier than he did. On the whole, I
thought the film was well worth the
price of admission.

Gibson and Russo, as the child's
parents, make their pain and an-
guish seem believable. I felt that
Gibson demonstrated the same pas-
sion and force which he had in
Braveheart. He actually made me
believe that he was heartbroken over
the loss of his child. Russo also tent
beliefability to her performance,
although I felt her character was
somewhat weaker than that of her
husband's. For the mother of kid-
napped child, I thought she would
have played a more prominent role
in the film's action than she did.
Shaken impresses me with his turn as
a mastermind criminal. A man
who I'm used to seeing play a lik-
cable character showed me he can be
cold and sinister as the best of them.
 Dedryns Lindo as Agent Hawkins',
the head FBI agent working this
task as performers

That was then, this
is now.

by Michael Adelson

PROFESSOR OF MUSIC

They're back. The "question
mark" posters from last year have
reappeared all over campus.
Again, they're brought to you by
the Connecticut College Organi-
tra. But this time we've added an
exclamation point and the phrase:
"That was then, this is now." What
do we mean by this?

Three things. First of all, we're
very proud of the progress we've
made. Last year was truly a year of
discovery, encompassing our
work on contemporary music, in
cold music, our exploration
of Mozart and our collabora-
with guest artists. This year,
we can explore even fur-
ther; we have grown so
much in ev

boxing tiny fragments under a
microscope, then weaving those
fragments into a macroscopic ti-

looking at the block of a
movie, we're seeing it in a new
way - in size, self-confidence,
technical expertise, and musical
depth. That was a very exciting
year; this is an even more thrilling
now.

Secondly, this year's first pro-
gram is a fascinating look at music
"then and now." Three works, sepa-
rated by exactly 40 years interval,
snapshots from the Family album
music: 1888, Dvorak's "Eighty
Symphonies" - one of the great
romantic works, with a freshness of
spirit and unabashed emotional
characteristics of a late 19th century.
1928, Weill's "Little Train of
Music" - taken from his Threepence
Opera, this suite uses the musical
vernacular - a language quite
similar to Dvorak's - for Weill's own
typical radical musical/political
effect. The situation is simultane-
ously moving and chilling. 1948,
Ligeti's "Ramifications" - unutterably
Ligeti, deliciously modern. Aston-
ishingly, he uses the same musical
elements as Dvorak and

Weill, first
Fall '96 Board Log

CASE #1
ACCUSED: Student A
ACCUSER: Campus Safety
CHARGE: Illegal Keg
PRECLUSION AT TRIAL: Student A
EVIDENCE: Written Statements, Confiscated Keg

DISCUSSION:

Student A had a party at his/her off-campus home, at which two kegs were present. The party ended early and Student A's friends left, leaving him with one full, un tapped keg. Student A stated that she/he didn't want the keg to go to waste, so she/he brought it into campus in the back of a friend's van. After attending an all-campus party and rounding up a few friends, Student A drove the keg to the area outside one of the dormitories. Student A then tapped the keg illegally while it was in the back of the van. At that time, approximately 10 of Student A's friends began drinking from the keg. Student A stated that they happened to be on legal drinking age and that no minors were served. After about 10 minutes, a crowd of about 25 people gathered around the van. A Campus Safety Officer noticed the crowd and investigated. At that time, she/he found the keg, and notified Student A that the keg was not legal. The keg was confiscated. It was picked up the next day by Student A.

DISCUSSION:

Student A admitted to having an illegal keg, tapping it, and serving from it. She/he further admitted that she/he knew she/he was breaking a rule. She/he stated that she/he was "trying to get away with it." She/he stressed the fact that only his/her "age" friends drank from the keg and that no underage students were served. She/he stated that this was his/her first offense, thus she/he didn't want to disrespect the College or its rules, and that it wouldn't happen again.

DECISION:

Guilty of Illegal Keg
For: 6
Against: 0

REASON:

Student A admitted to having an illegal keg, tapping it, and serving from it. She/he further admitted that she/he knew she/he was breaking the rules.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Board recommended:

A one hour meeting with David Brailey (Health Educator) to discuss the alcohol policy, specifically that regarding kegs.
They will also discuss liability when serving alcohol.

Six hours of unpaid work for physical plant.

All of the above recommendations are to be completed before the start of Thanksgiving Break (25 November 1996).

CASE #2
ACCUSED: Student A
ACCUSER: Campus Safety
CHARGES: Damage to College Property, Violation of Fire Code
EVIDENCE: Written Statements
PRECLUSION AT TRIAL: Student A - Accused, Housefellow A
Moral Support Person A

DISCUSSION:

Student A lit two candles in his/her room at approximately 10:00 p.m. Shortly thereafter, several friends came over his/her room to hang out. Student A and some of his/her friends consumed alcohol that day, and Student A later brought in a keg. As Student A's candle set was found relatively by accident. Had the Campus Safety Officer not heard the fire alarm, the potential damage would have been minimal. The actual damage to his/her dorm room and to neighboring students' rooms was minimal, the potential damage was great. The fire which caused the damage was started by the fire alarm, which was set by Student A's candle set. Had the fire alarm not been set, the potential damage would have been greater. The fire alarm was set by Student A's candle set, and as such, the damage was caused by the violation of the rules and ramifications that surround his/her actions. The measures were also taken because Student A was aware she/he was breaking the rules and was relatively unpunished.

ATTENDANCE:

All Board members were present. Representatives from the Class of 2000 were not elected yet.

CASE #3
ACCUSED: Student A, Student B
ACCUSER: Campus Safety
CHARGES: Damage to College Property
EVIDENCE: Written Statements, Witness Statement
PRECLUSION AT TRIAL: Student A, Student B
DISCUSSION:

Student A and Student B went to an all campus party.

The Board recommended:

The Board recommended that Student A and Student B pay the cost of the damage to the window. This was a total of $236.60. The Board decided that each student should pay half of that amount coming to $118.30 each. The Board felt this was a fair amount to pay for the repairs.

CASE #4
ACCUSED: Student A, Student B
ACCUSER: Campus Safety
CHARGES: Student A - Failure to Comply with Fire Regulations, Underage Drinking, Nuisance to the Community in the Form of Disturbing the Peace
Student B - Failure to Comply with Fire Regulations, Nuisance to the Community in the Form of Disturbing the Peace
EVIDENCE: Written Statements, Witness Statements

DISCUSSION:

The incident was observed by Student C and was reported.

The Board made a decision with this fire threatening consequence.

The Board made a decision/made her/his large a work
Student A, who admitted to being under the influence of alcohol, put his/her hand on the fire alarm.

**PRESENT AT TRIAL:**

---

**PRESENT AT TRAIL:**

Student A — Accused

Student B — Witness

Student C — Witness

**DISCUSSION:**

---

Student A admitted to being under the influence of alcohol and confessed that he/she had walked into the wrong room.

Student B re-entered the room and asked Student A what he/she was doing. Student A stated that he/she was looking for her/his bag and that he/she had walked into the wrong room. Student A then left. Student B, feeling something was up, checked his/her wallet and found her/his missing money. Student B went to look for Student A and then called Campus Safety around 2:15 am.

**DECISION:**

---

Guilty of Underage Drinking

---

**RECOMMENDATION:**

---

The Board voted to have Student A attend a meeting with Dean Ammarah, Dean of Freshmen, to discuss underage drinking.

---

The Board's decision was due to the amount of alcohol that Student A consumes on a regular basis and the threat to the community that it poses. The Board also voted to put Student A on Social Probation and have him/her return for a friend and that he/she had consumed approximately seven people in the room.

---

**PRESENT AT TRIAL:**

Student A — Accused

Student B — Accused

Student C — Accused

**EVIDENCE:**

---

**Housefellow A**

---

**DISCUSSION:**

---

Right after having their dorm meeting, Student A and an unknown friend went out to Student A's friend's car and took a keg of it. The keg, according to Student A, had been there since three days earlier. Student A and a group of her/his friends decided to tap the keg in Student A's room. At that time, approximately seven people were in the room.

---

**PRESENT AT TRIAL:**

Student A — Accused

Student B — Accused

Student C — Accused

**EVIDENCE:**

---

**Housefellow A**

---

**DISCUSSION:**

---

After the alarm was pulled, Student C went over to Student A and asked him what happened and walked with him to the Housefellow's House. Housefellow A was not home, but the Class of '98 believed she/he was not guilty of deceiving Housefellow A because he/she was clearly confused about how to turn oneself in to Jo-Bard.
REASON:
Student A was found guilty of Possession of an Unautho-
rized Key by his/her own admission and by the social host
charge which states that because the key was in his/her room,
she/he is responsible for it. Student B and Student A were
found not guilty because the Board believed it was not their
key.

Student A, Student B, and Student C were all found guilty
of presence at an illegal keg by their own admission and by
Housefollow A's statements.

Student A and Student B were found guilty of underage
drinking by their own admission. Student C was found not
guilty for it. Student B stated that she/he didn't think underage
drinking was a big deal and that she/he felt it was "silly" that
she/he was being brought before the Board for it. Both
accused said they knew it was illegal to drink underage, but
Student B thought it was OK because his/her drinking doesn't
affect others. Student A was found not guilty of underage
drinking because she/he said she/he didn't drink and because
Housefollow A couldn't remember if she/he saw him with a
beer or not.

Student B was found guilty of deception because she/he
knowingly and willfully led Housefollow A into believing
that she/he would turn himself in to J-Board. Student B had
more than simple opportunity to turn himself in. Student B
ever turned himself in.

RECOMMENDATION:
The Board recommended that Student A pay a $75.00 fine
for failing to sign out a living room. $75.00 was chosen
because it is the cost of the refundable deposit required to
sign out the room. The board also felt that Student A should
meet with Dean of the College Arthur Ferrari to talk about
honor and underage drinking. Finally, the Board felt that
Student A should work 28 hours of unpaid work for the
Arboretum. All of these measures should be carried out by
the end of First Semester.

For: 6
Against: 0
Abstain: 0

Student C was also given a one hour meeting with Dean
Ferrari to discuss honor and underage drinking. This meeting
was to be held separate from Student A and Student B's. Student
C was also given 20 hours of unpaid work with Dining
Services as a punitive measure. The meeting and all of the
hours must be completed by the end of First Semester.

For: 6
Against: 0
Abstain: 0

Student B was sanctioned heavily for reasons that will be
explained below. She/he too was given a one hour meeting with
Dean Ferrari to discuss honor and underage drinking. This
meeting was to be held separate from Student A and Student C's and
be presented to the Dean's discretion. Student B was also put on
social probation for one year. Finally, she/he was given 40 hours of unpaid work with
Custodial Services as a punitive measure.

For: 6
Rachel Gaines '97, Eric Olson '97, Josh Faisano '98, Stacie French '98,
Craig Dershowitz '99, Elizabeth Wohl '99
Against: 0
Abstain: 1
Josh Faisano '98 abstained because he
state was biased after he perceived Student B
to have threatened him during the trial.

REASON:
The Board felt that Student A was relatively sorry for his/
her actions. However, the Board also felt that strong action
should be taken because Student A willfully tapped the key,
even though she/he knew it was illegal. The Board was glad
that Student A came forward and took responsibility for his/
her actions. Nonetheless, the Board was unhappy to see that
Student A had an illegal keg when it is relatively simple to
have a legal keg in a signed out living room.

The Board felt that Student C was relatively remorseful
for his/her actions as well. While the Board understood
that Student C may have been unclear about how to turn himself/
her in, they also felt that she/he had ample opportunity and
information to do so.

The Board sanctioned Student B heavily for his/her actions
for several reasons. First, Student B had prior offenses involving
illega lkegs. Second, Student B knowingly and
willfully deceived Housefollow A into thinking that Student
B would turn himself in. Even knowing that she/he was guilty
of presence at an illegal keg and underage drinking and even
after Housefollow A gave him numerous chances to turn
himself in, Student B came before the Board only after being
formally charged by Student Life. Furthermore, on the day
of the incident, Student B tried to prevent Student A from
taking responsibility for his/her actions. The Board believes
that these acts of willful and intentional deception a violation
of honor code and a violation of the sense of honor which
governs our community.

Finally, the Board felt that Student B was absolutely unrepentant for his/her actions. Student B stated that she/he thought his/her offenses were "no big deal" and that she/he felt the whole trial was "silly." Student B also stated that: "If I knew all this BS was included in the honor code, I wouldn't have signed it."

The Board found his/her attitude both before and during the trial to be absolutely inappropriate and thus she/he was sanctioned heavily. Student B stated that she/he knew the usual punishment for being at illegal kegs was "a few hours in Harris," and she/he also stated that she/he thought this was an ineffective punishment.

ATTENDANCE:
All Board members were present except Courtney Blair (coordinator) who had an excused absence. Representatives from the Class of 2000 were not elected yet.

Faisano '98, Stacie French '98, Craig Dershowitz '99, Elizabeth Wohl '99
Against: 0

Student B: Not Guilty of Theft
For: 6
Rachel Gaines '97, Eric Olson '97, Josh Faisano '98, Stacie French '98, Craig Dershowitz '99, Elizabeth Wohl '99
Against: 0

Guilty of Deception
For: 6
Rachel Gaines '97, Eric Olson '97, Josh Faisano '98, Stacie French '98, Craig Dershowitz '99, Elizabeth Wohl '99
Against: 0

REASON:
Student A and Student B were both found not guilty of theft
because the board could not prove that they had stolen or
taken the extinguisher from anywhere other than the middle
of the road. The board believed that the two just found the
extinguisher and they did not consider this theft.

Student B was found guilty of violation of fire code because
she/he shot off the extinguisher without good cause (e.g. a
fire). Student A was not found guilty because she/he did not
shoot off the fire extinguisher. Both Student A and Student B were found guilty of deception.

Student A was found guilty because she/he tried to hide
the extinguisher from Officer A. Student B was found guilty
because she/he lied to Officer A and told him that she/he
did not discharge the extinguisher.

RECOMMENDATION:
The Board recommended that Student A receive a letter
of censure and that she/he perform six hours of unpaid work for
dining services to be completed by Thanksgiving.

For: 6
Rachel Gaines '97, Eric Olson '97, Josh Faisano '98, Stacie French '98,
Craig Dershowitz '99, Elizabeth Wohl '99
Against: 0
Abstain: 0

The Board recommended that Student B receive a letter
of censure, a $150.00 fine, a meeting with the J-Board Chair
for time to be extended at her discretion) to talk about honor,
and six hours of unpaid work for dining services, all of which
should be completed by Thanksgiving.

For: 6
Rachel Gaines '97, Eric Olson '97, Josh Faisano '98, Stacie French '98,
Craig Dershowitz '99, Elizabeth Wohl '99
Against: 0
Abstain: 0

The Board felt that six hours of work in dining services was
an appropriate punishment for the two charges of deception.
Both accused intentionally tried to deceive the Campus Safety
Officer in an effort to get out of trouble.

Student B was given the $150.00 fine as a standard fine for
violating the fire code. She/he was also given a meeting with
the Chair because the Board thought it was important to
educate her/him about honor, especially because she/he
didn't really understand how she/he deceived the Campus
Safety Officer.

ATTENDANCE:
All Board members were present. Representatives from the
Class of 2000 were not elected yet.
A's best interest to spend the night in the infirmary. There, when asked if she/he was injured from the window breaking, Student A looked at himself, and proceeded to urinate on the Campus Safety Patrol car. The officers transported him to the infirmary and then left the scene.

Approximately, two hours later, Officer B and Officer C were called by the nurse at the infirmary because Student A was causing trouble. Student A had climbed out of bed, urinated on the floor and refused to get back into bed. As the Campus Safety report says "it took an ammonia inhalant and several minutes to get him to comply." Finally, Student A got back in bed and Campus Safety again left the infirmary.

Student A claimed she/he has no recollection of any of his/her incidents with Campus Safety or later in the infirmary as she/he was severely intoxicated. Student B too, claimed to be unsure about the events of the night due to alcohol use.

**DECISION:**

For: 5 Rachel Gaines '97, Eric Olson '97, Stacie French '98, Craig Dershowitz '99, Elizabeth Wohl '99

Against: 0

- **Not Guilty of Underage Drinking**
- **Not Guilty of Nuisance to the Community**
- **Guilty of one count of Damage to College Property (for the window)**
- **Guilty of one count of Damage to College Property (for the bathroom)**
- **Guilty of Nuisance to the Community**

**REASON:**

Student A was found guilty of underage drinking by his/her own admission and by the overwhelming preponderance of evidence that indicated that she/he was drunk. She/he was found guilty of breaking the window because she/he admitted to doing it. Consequently, she/he was found guilty of causing a nuisance to the bathroom because neither she/he nor any of the witnesses could recollect or testify that she/he did it. Finally, Student A was found guilty of nuisance to the community because she/he was being loud in the common room and in the bathroom. She/he was also a nuisance in that she/he broke the window, spilled glass all over the common room, and then failed to take responsibility for cleaning it up.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Board recommended that Student A meet with Dean of Student Life, to talk about his/her excessive drinking. Student A is also on Alcohol Probation until the end of the academic year. This means that if she/he is brought before the board for another alcohol related violation in that time, the board will automatically conclude as a possible sanction. Finally, Student A will perform 16 hours of community service at a local alcohol rehabilitation center as both a punitive and educational sanction. These hours must be completed by Christmas.

For: 5 Rachel Gaines '97, Stacie French '98, Craig Dershowitz '99, Elizabeth Wohl '99

Against: 1 Eric Olson '97 voted against because he didn't feel that the alcohol probation was necessary and that it didn't address the main issues of the case.

**ABSTAIN:** 0

**REASON:**

The Board truly believes that Student A has a serious problem with alcohol. Therefore, the board felt she/he should have spent Christmas break to not only talk about the dangers of underage drinking, but also for possible referral for an alcohol problem. The hours in an alcohol rehabilitation center were given largely as an educational measure. It is the sincere hope of the board that Student A will learn about the numerous complex issues that surround alcohol while she/he is working there.

The Board felt Student B should pay for the window which she/he broke for the obvious reasons. The Board also recommended social probation until his/her graduation because Student B has two prior J-Board convictions. As a punitive measure for his/her actions, it was recommended that Student B do 24 hours of unpaid work in Dining Services. The board felt that based on past actions against Student B, and based on his/her apparent inability to learn from his/her wrongdoing that she/he should be sanctioned for his/her actions. The fact that Student B lied to the Campus Safety officer about his/her involvement with the window, and the fact that Student B put the Dormitory A Living Room off line for a few days while the window was being fixed was also taken into account.

**ATTENDANCE:**

All Board members were present except Courtney Blair (absent), and Joe Schaffgatz '98 who both had excused absences. Representatives from the Class of 2000 were not elected yet.
Students protest Prof's dismissal

This letter was submitted for publication in a local college newspaper, but the networking confusion, was not printed until this week. On Wednesday of last week, 76 members of the faculty and administration received this letter, signed by 324 students. "Professor Goldstein will not be here next year, and the implications of this reality are staggering," the students wrote. "We ask that the administration publicly respond to these demands by Friday, November 15 so that together we may begin immediately to ensure the survival of our education here at Connecticut College."

On Schmoozing

"The 70's were rockin'. Hashish, acid, keg parties, and eating their teeth than write? Much of the frustration is due to the fact that there is no clear-cut method to writing. A universal set of rules that people can master to make themselves good writers does not exist. Styles differ as much as snowflakes; chances are no two papers will be exactly alike. Maintaining a strong sense of efficiency without a vast amount of pain proves difficult. One hopes for us in the future to improve our revision techniques.

Professor Goldstein's departure marks a potential void in the gender studies curricula. Particularly concerning the history of women of color in the United States, Gay and Lesbian Studies, and the critical theoretical perspective she has brought to the school. The concern is real and base of knowledge that Professor Goldstein has brought to the program will be irrevocably lost. Furthermore, her departure means that she will not be here next year, and the implications of this reality are staggering. We ask that the administration publicly respond to these demands by Friday, November 15 so that together we may begin immediately to ensure the survival of our education here at Connecticut College."

On Schmoozing

"The 70's were rockin'. Hashish, acid, keg parties, and eating their teeth than write? Much of the frustration is due to the fact that there is no clear-cut method to writing. A universal set of rules that people can master to make themselves good writers does not exist. Styles differ as much as snowflakes; chances are no two papers will be exactly alike. Maintaining a strong sense of efficiency without a vast amount of pain proves difficult. One hopes for us in the future to improve our revision techniques.

Professor Goldstein's departure marks a potential void in the gender studies curricula. Particularly concerning the history of women of color in the United States, Gay and Lesbian Studies, and the critical theoretical perspective she has brought to the school. The concern is real and base of knowledge that Professor Goldstein has brought to the program will be irrevocably lost. Furthermore, her departure means that she will not be here next year, and the implications of this reality are staggering. We ask that the administration publicly respond to these demands by Friday, November 15 so that together we may begin immediately to ensure the survival of our education here at Connecticut College."

Hints for revision by Lisa Hawkins '93

Why would some people rather pull out their teeth than write? Much of the frustration is due to the fact that there is no clear-cut method to writing. A universal set of rules that people can master to make themselves good writers does not exist. Styles differ as much as snowflakes; chances are no two papers will be exactly alike. Maintaining a strong sense of efficiency without a vast amount of pain proves difficult. One hopes for us in the future to improve our revision techniques.

Here is a trick that works wonders. Look at yourself. Ask your friends. More than likely, all of you have different and even obsessive revision practices. First, you have to write the paper without dwelling on one part for too long. You can always go back later. Next, you have to revise; that is, be willing to reread your paper maybe more than once. Here are a few steps and questions you can ask yourself in order to improve your writing and revising in general:

1) Get a clear focus about the goals of the paper and what your paper is about. Ask yourself certain questions: What is the title of the paper? Who is my audience? What is my thesis? Do my ideas flow properly and relate to the thesis? Should I add or cut any ideas?

2) Flit your ideas, making sure the paper is not boring. How good are the ideas? Is there enough detail? Is the paper too general, too short, too long? Could I change the narrator, tone, point of view, type of paper (narrative form to interview, etc.) to make my paper more interesting?

3) Explore the actual language of the paper. (It does little good doing this earlier since much could be changed in the previous steps.) Are there confusing sentences, awkward or redundant phrases, repetition? Are the verb tenses consistent or too passive? Is my language forceful enough? (Avoid using words similar to "maybe" and "seems".)

4) Focus on grammar. Are there proper punctuation and word choice? How is the subject-verb agreement? Have I used the correct tense?

5) Finally, GET RID OF TYPOS! Spellcheck is a great tool but does not always catch errors. The pain in writing will decrease if you are given the right medicine, good revision skills. All you need is the right prescription.
Campus is lacking in senses of humor

Hey you!!! Yeah the one without the sense of humor! Oh, we're sorry, we guess that description is too general since many of you seem to have forgotten how to laugh. We are of course referring to the articles that appeared in last week's voice regarding the "Schoomoz" column. If you become so insulted by a broad statement such as "big butt female" that you want an entire Connecticut College tradition like "Schoomoz" to be canceled, then we'd like to give you a wake up call. Soon you'll be forced out of this cocoon of political correctness into a harsher society where you'll need to know how to laugh at yourself and others in order to survive. Someday, you'll be thankful if you can find such a means of escape that forces you to step back and smile at life and all its quirks. As students of this campus, that's what "Schoomoz" does for us. A good laugh is hard to find. Take it where you can get it.

Jamie Burns '97
Claudia Busto '97
Adriana Tore '97

Dessert & dialogue

On October 30 in Lambdin Livingroom, Cathy Horne hosted a Dessert and Dialogue called "To Play or Not to Play." The discussion there included why coaches push athletes so far, and comparing the implications of the sport to the athlete and to the coach. Does some coaches push athletes to play when injured? Absurdly, was why do athletes insist on playing when they could aggravate an injury? The relative importance of sport was compared to that of academics, and philosophies of taking away athletics as a punishment for poor academic performance were also discussed.

On Sunday, November 3, Michael Adelson hosted, "Why My Music?: A discussion of Music Taste." He spoke on why we listen to what we listen to and made an interesting point which I had never really heard before in such a way. He said that the more we love music, the less music we love. I find this to be true with my own listening habits. The more I get into the style of music I listen to (progressive rock, including Rush, Yes, and Dream Theater) the less I like to listen to mainstream modern rock, pop, or rap. The same held true for lovers of Jazz, Western Art Music, and Pop. We also spoke about disco music and what ways to appreciate it. We talked about familiarity and the difference in what makes something technically good from fun to listen to. Everyone had different answers, obviously, but it made me appreciate the love that people have for their kind of music as I have for mine.

On Monday, November fourth in Abbey House, Professor Niering hosted a Dessert and Dialogue about the environment in the world today.

The discussion opened with Professor Niering touching on the improvements in the last thirty years. He focused on small scale improvements in Connecticut and specifically connecticut college and the arborium.

In the second half of the discussion, the question was raised if people judge the environment as an important issue in the world today, meaning the environment is constantly being labeled as an important issue, than why is it that people don't take that into consideration as heavily when voting politically as they would other issues like the deficit, or health care. It was an interesting discussion, and one that definitely deserves further consideration.

Ryan Eschman
Housefellow of Special Projects

continued from page 2

The administration position is that there has not yet been sufficient development to ensure that the nations will adapt to the new political arrangements of the Dayton Peace Accord and will slip back into war. In fact, tensions have increased as all three factions—Serb, Croat and Muslim—have become increasingly bold in initiating small attacks against each other.

For Clinton, who does not need Congressional approval to send troops that will likely arrive before Congress is in session, this recent decision could possibly indicate the future of foreign policy as he begins his second term. Whether or not the presidential campaign debate on the U.S. presence in Bosnia. Clinton, defending the lack of discussion regarding Bosnia, claimed that he never intended to unconditionally end the U.S. presence in Bosnia. Clinton, defending the lack of discussion regarding Bosnia, claimed that the substantive difference between him and Republican presidential nominee Bob Dole was essentially non-existent and therefore was not an appropriate topic to highlight facing an upcoming election. Within a week following the election, however, Clinton alluded, at a press conference, to the fact that the Christmas deadline to withdraw U.S. military forces in Bosnia had not yet been sufficient development to ensure that the nations will adapt to the new political arrangements of the Dayton Peace Accord and will slip back into war. In fact, tensions have increased as all three factions—Serb, Croat and Muslim—have become increasingly bold in initiating small attacks against each other.
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For Clinton, who does not need Congressional approval to send troops that will likely arrive before Congress is in session, this recent decision could possibly indicate the future of foreign policy as he begins his second term. Whether or not the presidential campaign debate on the U.S. presence in Bosnia. Clinton, defending the lack of discussion regarding Bosnia, claimed that he never intended to unconditionally end the U.S. presence in Bosnia. Clinton, defending the lack of discussion regarding Bosnia, claimed that the substantive difference between him and Republican presidential nominee Bob Dole was essentially non-existent and therefore was not an appropriate topic to highlight facing an upcoming election. Within a week following the election, however, Clinton alluded, at a press conference, to the fact that the Christmas deadline to withdraw U.S. military forces in Bosnia had not yet been sufficient development to ensure that the nations will adapt to the new political arrangements of the Dayton Peace Accord and will slip back into war. In fact, tensions have increased as all three factions—Serb, Croat and Muslim—have become increasingly bold in initiating small attacks against each other.

For Clinton, who does not need Congressional approval to send troops that will likely arrive before Congress is in session, this recent decision could possibly indicate the future of foreign policy as he begins his second term. Whether or not the presidential campaign debate on the U.S. presence in Bosnia. Clinton, defending the lack of discussion regarding Bosnia, claimed that he never intended to unconditionally end the U.S. presence in Bosnia. Clinton, defending the lack of discussion regarding Bosnia, claimed that the substantive difference between him and Republican presidential nominee Bob Dole was essentially non-existent and therefore was not an appropriate topic to highlight facing an upcoming election. Within a week following the election, however, Clinton alluded, at a press conference, to the fact that the Christmas deadline to withdraw U.S. military forces in Bosnia had not yet been sufficient development to ensure that the nations will adapt to the new political arrangements of the Dayton Peace Accord and will slip back into war. In fact, tensions have increased as all three factions—Serb, Croat and Muslim—have become increasingly bold in initiating small attacks against each other.
I.M. Update: Freight Train, Wet Edge win titles

By Chris Capone
THE COLLEGE VOICE

The culmination of the Fall Intramural Season reached its pinnacle with final games being played in soccer and football this past Sunday. In I.M. Football the Superbowl match featured Sully's Kids and Freight Train and, like freshman year at the College, the final was not far from victory. Chris Capone opened up the Freight Train offense by throwing for three interceptions on the opening three drives. Part of the reason for this was the quick line play of Sully's Kids. Chris O'Reilly, who despite being put up like a pregnant Demi Moore on the cover of Mademoiselle, is one of the fast-est in the world. After this, the most exciting an liberating experience since waking up face down in a pool of my own vomit this morning. "In the second half Freight Train would pull away as Aaron DeMaio would boot Mark DeSantis for yet another score this season. With time running out, Sully's Kids made one last attempt to score but were intercepted by Gregg McNeil on a play which was more confusing then Kyle Moore's acceptance to this school. Gian Giordano would add an insurance touchdown at the end to make the score 21-7. Sully's Kids was hurt by the loss of Derek Hasan who was seriously injured when he accidentally sat down on the thumb which he had placed in the hands."

I.M. Athlete of the Week - Aaron DeMaio: one touchdown, two championships, a number of references to his sexual prowess in The Voice, and an incredibly inflated ego as a result of all these factors.

A Hard Act To Follow...

by Tim Sheffin
THE COLLEGE VOICE

Last year the Conn College men's hockey picked up the pieces of a disappointing 5-16-5 campaign, and put together their best season in recent memory. The season was highlighted by their first ECAC Quarterfinal in six years and an amazing 11-game unbeaten streak. Calling it an unbeaten season is unfair when you consider that it was more than a victory, it was a completely new direction.

Improving on such a great season will be a tough task, but Conn is returning 18 players including their leading scorer Dave Kessler (68 pts) and Dave Geshow. Geshow was second in goals scored last season with 16. Other key offensive returns are Gerry Rinne and Curt Wilcox. Wilcox hit the limp 10 times last season while Rinne netted 13 of his own. These four seniors are made up the offensive nucleus of the "97 team."

The Camels will get a chance to see how they stack up to the best team in Division III when the head up Middlebury, VT to face Middlebury College on November 22. Middlebury has dominated Division III hockey for the past decade, and has even considered the possibility of jumping to Division I. For now, however, the Panthers are staying put, giving Conn a chance to pull off a great upset. While in Vermont, Conn will stop a Norwich who they beat 5-2 early last season.

If all goes exactly as planned, when the Camels play their ninth game of the year on January 11, at Amherst, Coach Doug Roberts will be celebrating more than a NEAC/CAC win. Roberts will have picked up career victory number 200 as coach of the Connecticut College team. Even if the Camels don't start out 9-0, Conn has a great chance to help place Roberts reach that plateau.

Conn has a lot at stake this season. While last year's success surprised Conn believers in the ECAC and national elite, a strong season this year would be evidence of a program ready to become a potential power. The Camels finished last year ranked ninth in the East. If they stay in the top 10 again this year, they would be making a serious statement.

Wet Edge soaks Guster in IM soccer final

by Tim Sheffin
THE COLLEGE VOICE

The first half saw a single goal, and intense defense. Curran Ford connected a pass to TJ Green to put Guster on the board. There was a lot of contact, mud, and sliding in the field to close the half. Wet Edge knew they had to come out strong to gain control, but Guster was ready to take an even larger lead to secure the victory. The second half had Wet Edge goalie Kyle Sheffield all over the box, defending his goal with life and limb. Forcing the ball into Wet Edge's scoring half meant two goals for the WE. Ken Meyers had a breakaway, resulting in the ball flying through the posts into the net. Toby Ehmore had a scramble that led him to push the ball past the Guster defense for another goal. That made the score 2-1 in favor of the Wet Edge. Guster regained control, and came close to evening the score. But Sheffield cleared the ball far up field with one minute left. Guster tried a strategic play of pulling the goalie to put everyone across their chests.

The article will be recognized, as no name was available at the time of publication. Ed.
Sailing '96: Team of Champions

by Carolyn Tribble

The College Voice

Things came to a head this past weekend, as the Connecticut College Sailing Team wrapped up its season with three Atlantic Coast Championship Qualifiers in all three divisions: Women's, Men's, and Coed, the team set out along the eastern seaboard with high expectations.

The Freshman Team traveled to King's Point, New York to sail against thirteen other schools. The young team comprised of Ted Robertson, Be Teeters-Trumpy, William Rice Parks, Anne Longstaff, and Justin Smith, had experienced success earlier in the season. The competition at King's Point was tough, sailing against powerhouse schools like St. Mary's, Navy, and the Coast Guard Academy in full light and current. The current was ripping. Coach Greg Skidmore felt the team showed promise and hopes they got in more practice next season.

Here in New London, the Women's Team sailed out of Coast Guard looking for a win. After being scolded third in the nation according to Sailing World's college poll, the women had high hopes. A solid team of Ely Largay '98, Karen Renzulli '99, Jane Robertson '98, and Karen Rice '98 had worked hard to earn their ranking. Veteran Tracy Hayley '97 and crew Joanna Montague '98 came on board to further strengthen the team for the regatta. Similar to the freshman regatta, the Women's team was placed with light air and heavy current. Competition was tough, with Hayley/Montague battling out a three way tie for second place in a division. The Women placed 5th overall, which they were satisfied with, but are now focusing on training for the Nationals in May.

Down in Annapolis, Maryland, the Coed Team entered into a heated battle at the US Naval Academy. Unlike the Freshmen and Women's teams, the Coed's saw plenty of action thanks to a steady breeze. The team consisted of Morgan Arrison '99, Connor '98, Carter Page '97, Peter Strouthman '99, Tara Davignon '97, Drew Burner '98, and Kimberly Gresalfi '98 were ready to go and the results show. On the first day of competition, Connor/Page were second in A division, with Burner/Gresalfi and Strouthman/Davignon sailing equally well in B division. Coach Jeff Toff was excited to see that the team peaked at the right time. The team finished the regatta fourth overall, which was by far their most impressive placing in ACC's in recent years. However, Conn still has another regatta down the line in Annapolis. This weekend, the Sloop team of Ben Wagner '99, Butner, and Connor will be competing in another Atlantic Coast Sloop Championship in 22.5. Sailing earlier in the season, the team placed second in the New England Championship. Everyone was extremely happy with the score and the team is eager to sail.

Altogether, these three teams sailed to remarkable success, and their accomplishments should make the Connecticut College community proud. Not only did Conn sailors qualify for all three regattas, but they also qualified in the Women's Single-Handed Championship, and the Men's and Atlantic Coast Sloop Championship; the Team sailing rose above and beyond any Conn sailing team standard, qualifying for five championships in one season. The team worked hard in their twelve week season, and will continue to sail individually over the winter to prepare for the spring.

As a Varsity sport, the Sailing Team is often overlooked by the College Community. The Members have attended several OFC's in recent years. However, the Coed's have been having discussions with the administration. Ever since they were allocated this year. All the members have taken on the responsibility of maintaining the highest possible standard, qualifying for five championships in one season. The team worked hard in their twelve week season, and will continue to sail individually over the winter to prepare for the spring.

As a Varsity sport, the Sailing Team is often overlooked by the College Community. The Members have attended several OFC's in recent years. However, the Coed's have been having discussions with the administration. Ever since they were allocated this year. All the members have taken on the responsibility of maintaining the highest possible standard, qualifying for five championships in one season. The team worked hard in their twelve week season, and will continue to sail individually over the winter to prepare for the spring.

With the new budget allocations that the school has handed out, it seems once again they've neglected the sailing team. The Sailing team is one of nineteen sports out of which only 11 club sports at Conn this year. Each currently getting between $150 and $2,000 from the school allocations account, and each with various other problems of their own. It's just a lack of respect," said ultimate frisbee captain Tim Rebh. "That basically sums it up for me." Ultimate frisbee is one of many clubs that have been having discussions with the athletic director. During the fall, the club is forced to compete with the men's rugby team for practice time. The two teams share one field and must coordinate practices. At the same time the men's soccer team, given three fields for practice. Ultimate frisbee first came to Conn over 10 years ago, and with all the freshmen that have shown up to support the team this year, it doesn't look like they'll be going anywhere anytime soon; they are still only allocated $150 a year. Any sanctioned tournament costs $15 per person, not including food or transportation, which the team has to supply themselves. Instead, November 3, ultimate frisbee hosted one of its two annual tournaments; November 3 was also intramural soccer playoffs. Both organizations had reserved the field for that day. The field was given to soccer and the ultimate tournament games had to be altered. "It's really unfortunate when we have other sports to come to Conn that we can't make a good impression for them. It makes the club look bad and it makes the school look bad," said Rebh. Club baseball is another sport that has faced some serious problems with the administration. Even no- matted baseball field at Conn? That's because there isn't one. The team is forced to travel to New London to practice on a dirt field. Because there is no field the team is forced to play all away games during their season. The baseball team is allocated $2,000, which barely covers the cost of a coach, and no money is left over for equipment. "Because all our games are away games, no one ever goes to watch. It's really disappointing for all," said club baseball president Scott Hurlbert.

Other club sports with problems include the men's rugby team which has already exceeded its allocations, and the aikido and ski clubs which have negative balances in their Student Organization accounts this year.

UPCOMING CAMEL SPORTS

ONLY PARTIAL LIST AVAILABLE

WOMEN'S BASKETBALL: FRIDAY 11/28 VS. ALBERTUS MAGNUS @ 8:00 P.M.

WOMEN'S ICE HOCKEY: FRIDAY 11/28 VS. WHEATON @ 4:00 P.M.