Hilsman Discusses Nixon’s China Trip

By SUSAN BLACK

“Nox’s Trip to China” was the subject of a talk given by Roger Hilsman, professor of Government at Columbia University, last Thursday evening in Dana Hall. Using as a vantage point his experiences in foreign affairs and government and as the author of numerous books on foreign policy, Mr. Hilsman discussed the background to China’s present situation, the reasons behind China’s invitation and President Nixon’s acceptance of it, and the future of China.

Mr. Hilsman explored the theory that the China invitation was due to purely pragmatic reasons: as a country surrounded by potentially dangerous or competitive neighbors (i.e., Japan, Russia, the U.S.), China would seek to ease tensions by making advances to one of these neighbors—in this case, the U.S. However, he held that this theory, though “valid,” was “not sufficient;” why did China extend the invitation to Russia? He suggested that China’s motives lie deeper, in policy or power struggle resulting from Mao’s waning influence (listing Mao to a “George Washington” or grandfather figure).

As for the President’s reasons for accepting such an invitation, Mr. Hilsman saw them as clear-cut. He commended Nixon on this gesture of normalization, of an opening of communications (“I must approve since I called for it long ago.”), but scored him for the manner and the timing of the visit. “This was not quiet diplomacy, but TV spectacular—I think it’s sad,” he said, adding that the timing for the best possible political effect was remarkable. Also, he felt that the visit should have been delayed until the Vietnam war had been settled.

“Not much” seems to sum up Mr. Hilsman’s assessment of the results of Nixon’s trip. Except for the opening of communication channels, he predicted that tourism would be the only area drastically affected, with negligible effects, at best, on our relations with Japan, Russia, and Formosa, on the Vietnam War and Hanoi (“mistrust”), and on trade. Thus he expects minimal results, rather than any solid achievement, from this trip.

However, Mr. Hilsman did not discount China as a power to be reckoned with or as a country of high military technology and development. He predicted that a “dual China” will develop. On the one hand will be the peasants, tradition-bound and struggling upward, while on the other hand will be an “elite” that will form China into a nation as powerful as Japan or Great Britain.

The new leadership of China will be “ambitious to restore China to the place in the world it deserves” and also hostile at times—he was quick to add that he felt China would invade its neighbors only if the U.S. or Russia tried a similar move.

In a press conference before his talk, Mr. Hilsman made several additional points. Although he would “not make a big point of this,” Nixon did suffer a “loss of face” in going to China, rather than having Chinese representatives come to this country. He attributed this to China’s strange “strategy” (Continued On Page 7)

Theatre One to Present “Once Upon a Mattress"

Theatre One will be presenting Once Upon a Mattress March 10 and 11 in Palmer Auditorium. The play, based on the story of the “Princess and the Pea”, is a cooperative effort with the Music and Dance Departments along with Theatre Studies majors at Connecticut College. Ted Chapin ’72 is directing the production which is Theatre One’s first musical. Fred Grimme is the producer.

The cast and crew have been working on the show since January. Jodie Lacey 73, who spent last semester at the National Theatre Institute, has designed the set and Mark Lavin ’73, the lights. Paul Althouse and James Bloomer are directing the music and choreography respectively. Costume coordination is being done by Gail Mittendorf.


Tickets can be purchased in Courter-Williams today until 4:45 p.m. or Friday and Saturday in Palmer Box Office, ext. 384. Since all seats are reserved, calling in advance is advisable. Tickets are $2.50 and $2.00 for the general public and $1.00 for students and faculty with ID.

Student Bill of Rights Approved by Council

The Student Assembly last week discussed and approved in theory a rough draft of a Student Bill of Rights. The statement, which was prepared by Anita DeFusco 74, will be considered by the College Council in a meeting this afternoon.

The Bill of Rights enumerates individual student rights and "freedom to learn." This is basically a procedural document,” Anita said. She added that many of the provisions of the statement “should go without saying,” but are included in order to eliminate any confusion that might arise if the rights of students are in some way brought into question.

If it is approved by College Council, the report will be voted upon by the faculty and by the student body. Anita hopes that both groups will vote on the Student Bill of Rights during the month of April. The statement must also be ratified by the Board of Trustees before it goes into effect.

The Bill of Rights is divided into six sections, the first of which states that no student will be denied admission to the College on the basis of race or religion.

The second section outlines student rights in the classroom, including disagreeing with data and views presented in courses, recourse to the Academic Honor Committee in the case of improper academic accusation, and protection against improper disclosure of student beliefs by faculty.

Section Three discussed the keeping of academic, disciplinary, medical, and placement records by the College and their use.

A Section on Student Affairs lists the rights to join and discuss questions of interest to individual students, and to invite and be heard by the faculty without censorship by the College.

Section Four provides for the right to maintain a free press and radio and are also discussed.

Section Five lists the points of (Continued On Page 3)
A Credibility Gap

The all-College meeting on Monday started with a brief, informative presentation of the Preliminary Budget for next year, but predictably deteriorated to a fruitless exchange of charges and countercharges. The accusations were an inevitable result of a deplorable lack of trust between John Schwartz (perhaps he has backers on the committee, but no one chose to say so) and members of the administration. President Shain and Mr. Knight were cast as villains, paranoid of criticism and suspicious of student inquiry. I cannot begin to believe that this is true. Perhaps they did overreact to the questionable tactics employed by Mr. Schwartz. But, after hearing both sides of the arguments, it is apparent to me that the administration behaved far less irresponsibly than its vocal opponent on the Development Committee.

The Minority Report expressed an honest difference of opinion concerning the scholarship allotment, but the methods used in presenting and publicizing these views were less than prudent. It would have been helpful (and would still be very useful) to more specifically define the function of the Development Committee. Should the Committee make general recommendations, or should it analyze the budget in detail? (And is it capable of conducting a thorough analysis of such a large and complex budget?)

The question of confidentiality should have been—and should be—resolved. In theory, it sounds good to say that the College must be made aware of committee activities, but it has been demonstrated that broadcasting issues and opinions can make a shambles of the committee's effectiveness. Many members of the committee felt that Mr. Schwartz was obliged to consult them before taking information to the Trustees or the rest of the College. Finally, there is no reason to believe that the administration isn't just as concerned about keeping costs down as the students are. For students to ask to play some part in preparing the budget through the committee system is reasonable; demanding to see the entire budget (and implicitly asserting that the administration is being lax in cutting costs) is not. -AC

Majority Speaks

To the Editor:

In response to the article in the March 2 issue of Pundit by Pat Whittaker and Mary Cerretto concerning the Student Representation on College Committees, the following points should be stated for clarification:

1) Student representation did not occur on the Committee to Study Student Representation on College Committees (known as the Owake Committee), composed of faculty, students, and administration, was appointed by the President in March 1969. The Owake Committee report was subsequently submitted to the faculty for discussion in October and was voted approval on January 7, 1970.

2) The student members of the Owake Committee unanimously supported the recommendation that student representatives on the administration participate in those discussions which concerned their fellow students. Such participation was viewed as a violation of the students' rights of confidentiality.

3) As soon as students were elected to the Administration Committee, the idea of full student participation was raised and was re-directed to the faculty. On November 4, 1970, voted overwhelmingly to maintain that role of confidentiality by re-affirming that "student members of the Administration Committee participate in all considerations of policy but not in the consideration of student or faculty petition matters at all times."

It may be helpful to point out that the primary function of the Administration Committee is to evaluate the exceptions to established college regulations rather than to initiate innovations.

The Administration Committee

Student Library Committee is satisfied with the number of seats planned, although it is higher than the consultant recommended on the basis of a user count in the spring of this year which no more than 11 percent of the student body was in the library at any given time. In our view, a more comfortable and inviting library would undoubtedly attract a higher percentage of students and we readily accepted the conservative projection now appearing in the program as a compromise in the face of the twin specters of a tight economy and spiraling construction costs. If we had a substantial portion of the total cost in hand, perhaps additional seats and other items cut from the original recommendations could be restored. Unless the (Continued On Page 6)

Visiting VIP's

Ambassador Karim

His Excellency, Enayet Karim, the Ambassador designate of the Government of Bangladesh to the United States, will address the College on "The Birth of Bangladesh" in Palmer Auditorium on Wednesday, March 15, at 8:00 p.m.

The Ambassador studied Economics at Dacca University, where he received his Bachelor's and Master's degrees. From 1950-52, he was a lecturer in Economics at the University of Dacca.

Karim has held numerous diplomatic posts for Pakistan in several countries, including Great Britain, India, Iran, and Burma. From 1964 to 1967, he served as Counselor of the Pakistan High Commission in New Delhi, and was Director of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs in Islamabad from 1967 to 1970. In 1970 he was appointed Minister and Head of Chancery at the Pakistan Embassy in Washington.

The Ambassador's visit is being jointly sponsored by the Department of History and Government.

William Stringfellow

William Stringfellow, lawyer, theologian, harbinger of fugitives—will be the guest preacher at College Worship this Sunday. Now a resident of Block Island, R.I., Stringfellow practiced poverty law in East Harlem during the 1950's and early 60's. Not Hentoff has written of him in the Nation: "Stringfellow is no liberal. He is a radically relevant Christian—an extremely rare species."

It was as such a "rare species" that Stringfellow came under special FBI surveillance recently. The Free Disenchanters Institute, in which he has been a guest in Stringfellow's Block Island home.

Stringfellow is the author of many books; among them My People Is The Enemy. Free In Obedience. Dissenter in a Great Society. And A Second Birthday. At present he is collaborating with Anthony Towne on a biography of the late Bishop James Pike.

College worship is at 11:00 a.m. in Harkness Chapel. Child care and Chapel School are available in the College Nursery School.
1972-73 Budget Summary

By ALLEN CARROLL

At an all-college meeting on Monday afternoon, President Charles Shain and Treasurer and Business Manager Leroy Knight presented a summary of the preliminary budget for the 1972-73 year.

The $9,291,600 budget represents an increase of six percent over the revised budget of $8,8 million for 1971-72. Knight stated that in the past five years, the budget has increased by $2.2 million, or 31 percent. "The budget has...been kept pace with the increase in the cost of living," he said.

A large portion of the increase in expenditures of $219,800 from this year to next year is in salaries and wages and student aid. The 1972-73 budget allotment for salaries and wages is $829,200 over this year's allotment; student aid has increased by $146,100. Staff benefits have increased by $68,400, and other...

Table One

CONNECTICUT COLLEGE
SUMMARY OF PRELIMINARY ESTIMATE OF BUDGET
1972-1973

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Educational and General</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student tuition and fees</td>
<td>$4,638,139</td>
<td>$4,566,800</td>
<td>$4,782,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endowment income</td>
<td>455,384</td>
<td>497,200</td>
<td>497,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gifts</td>
<td>679,678</td>
<td>629,000</td>
<td>790,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsored research</td>
<td>159,133</td>
<td>160,000</td>
<td>160,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other sponsored programs</td>
<td>144,536</td>
<td>145,000</td>
<td>145,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organized activities relating to educational departments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other sources</td>
<td>356,333</td>
<td>341,000</td>
<td>318,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Educational and General</td>
<td>$6,904,237</td>
<td>$6,487,800</td>
<td>$6,862,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary Enterprises</td>
<td>2,106,520</td>
<td>2,224,100</td>
<td>2,368,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Revenue and Appropriation</td>
<td>$9,010,757</td>
<td>$8,711,900</td>
<td>$9,230,900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EXPENDITURES AND TRANSFERS

Eduational and General
Instructional and departmental research $2,070,012 $2,025,200 $2,549,800
Humanities-Upward Bound programs 9,337
Organized activities relating to educational departments 183,654 191,500 160,000
Sponsored research 159,133 160,000 145,000
Other sponsored programs 144,536 145,000 40,700
Extension and public service 31,026 40,100 321,000
Library 283,751 304,900 500,300
Student services 477,623 475,200
Operation and maintenance of physical plant 940,175 1,010,900 892,700
General administration 247,734 269,300 282,400
Staff benefits 618,727 661,500
General institutional 500,300 638,900 914,500
Total Educational and General $5,759,784 $5,917,500 $6,001,000
Student Aid 658,550 745,900 906,300
 Auxiliary Enterprises 1,864,161 1,943,300 2,383,900
Total Expenditures less transfers $8,282,495 $8,605,800 $9,133,800
Transfers 296,111 156,100 158,000
Total Expenditures and Transfers $8,578,606 $8,761,900 $9,291,800

increase in expenditures amount to $54,200.
"It is a very lean, hard budget," Knight said, and shows very little increase in expenditures other than for people," Knight said. 69 per cent or $6.1 million of the preliminary budget has been allocated for people (including wages and salaries, student aid, etc.). $9.3 million is designated for "things".

Knight compared the preliminary budget with this year's revised budget and the actual budget as far back as 1968-69. "The program for next year reflects what we have been doing for the past five years pretty systematically," he stated.

The percentage of the College's income that is provided by students has increased in the past five years. In 1968-69, room and board and tuition and fees made up 70 percent of the total income of the College, which has increased to 77 percent for the 1972-73 school year.

"The endowment has grown little if any (over the past five years)," Knight said. Gifts have doubled, accounting for the elimination of the deficit. The College hopes to complete both this year and next year with a balanced budget. Shain stressed the importance of not depleting our reserves, which are now less than $1 million, down from $3.5 million five years ago.

The physical plant budget has increased from approximately $770,000 in 1968-69 to $853,000. This included increases in expenditures on grounds general expenses, and staff benefits. Expenditures on buildings have decreased from $776,000 to $644,000. A relatively large increase in the power house budget (from $235,000 to $293,000) is chiefly due to rising costs of utilities and fuel.

The projected total student aid for 1972-73 is about $1.2 million, $100,000 of which is included in the preliminary budget (the remainder of student aid includes loans, co-op, and student employment). This figure represents an increase of approximately $174,000 over this year's student aid.

To clarify the figures presented with this article, Knight said, "To permit comparability of previous data with the Preliminary 1972-73 budget, the data for the years 1968-69 through 1971-72 has been adjusted to conform to the new budget format as authorized by the Trustees for the Preliminary 1972-73 Budget. These adjustments relate to the budgets for the Operation and Maintenance of Physical Plant, Staff Benefits, Auxiliary Enterprises and Transfers. These adjustments have been included in all but Table One.

Following the budget presentation, John Schwartz '72, Co-chairman of the Development Committee, briefly replied to Schwartz's speech, saying that the committee had been "strictly an advisory committee". The committee had failed to firmly "establish an identity," he said. Opinions differed over whether to attempt a detailed analysis of the budget or to make general recommendations as it had done in the past.

In a question-and-answer period that followed, members of the audience inquired about specific aspects of the Preliminary Budget, and discussed the Development Committee controversy with Shain, Knight, and the Co-chairmen.

Repeated requests to examine the data they gathered, I can only judge that they wanted not a correction of the report to the community, but rather its retraction," he said.

He gave an account of his experiences on the Development Committee, including the disagreements over the student aid allocation and alleged difficulty in receiving detailed information from the administration.

"I think we should continue to work to see the budget proposals of the minority report enacted," he said.

Walter Brady, Co-chairman of the Development Committee, briefly replied to Schwartz's speech, saying that the committee had in the past been "strictly an advisory committee". The committee had failed to firmly "establish an identity," he said. Opinions differed over whether to attempt a detailed analysis of the budget or to make general recommendations as it had done in the past.
At All-College Meeting

### TABLE TWO
#### TOTAL INCREASE IN EXPENDITURES 1968-69 to 1972-73

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>1968-69</th>
<th>1972-73</th>
<th>Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instruction &amp; Related</td>
<td>$669,000</td>
<td>$8.6M</td>
<td>$2,196,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsored Programs</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
<td>$1.2M</td>
<td>$93M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>$105,000</td>
<td>$1.1M</td>
<td>$93M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Services</td>
<td>$140,000</td>
<td>$1.1M</td>
<td>$93M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Plant</td>
<td>$123,000</td>
<td>$1.1M</td>
<td>$93M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Administration</td>
<td>$67,000</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Institutional</td>
<td>$328,000</td>
<td>$2.2M</td>
<td>$2.2M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Aid</td>
<td>$432,000</td>
<td>$3.3M</td>
<td>$3.3M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary Enterprises</td>
<td>$202,000</td>
<td>$2.4M</td>
<td>$2.4M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TOTAL EXPENDITURES 1972-73

- **Instruction** $8.6M
- **Dormitories** $1.2M
- **Physical Plant** $1.1M
- **Library** $1.1M
- **Student Services** $1.2M
- **General Administration** $1.1M
- **General Institutional** $1.1M
- **Student Aid** $1.2M
- **Auxiliary Enterprises** $1.1M

### TOTAL EXPENDITURES 1972-73

- **Refectories** $9.3M
- **Dormitories** $1.1M
- **Student Aid** $1.1M
- **General Institutional** $1.1M
- **Student Services** $1.1M
- **Library** $1.1M
- **Sponsored Programs** $1.1M
- **Instruction & Related Activities** $2.8

### TOTAL INCOME 1972-73

- **Deficit** $7.1M
- **Endowment** $7.2M
- **Other Sponsored Programs**
- **Gifts**
- **Room & Board** $7.7%

### Income Sources 1968-69

- **Tuition & Fees**
- **Room & Board**

### Income Sources 1972-73

- **Tuition & Fees**
- **Room & Board**
I am accused of marshalling a case against the administration of Connecticut College and presenting it in an unfair manner. However, even in the absence of badly-needed data, I can tell that the administration itself offered a version in the Pendut. The difference between the $356,000 budget and the $54,000 figure, for example, is the difference between total and operating budgets; I cite the operating budget, while they complain I should have used the total. The difference is this: Fairfield University borrowed money to build its campus and this year is spending $655,000 ($396,000 less $451,000) to pay back the interest and principal; Connecticut College, on the other hand is paying only $30,000 on the operating cost for this year. Furthermore, President Shain's comments did not include the fact that Fairfield University has a far longer academic calendar and thus must pay employees for more days work than we do.

While I would agree that our institution probably has more need for physical plant expenditures than Fairfield—say so in public—I am unable to accurately assess the comparability of Fairfield and Connecticut for lack of information.

In response to his charges that I presented my facts in a dishonest and irresponsible manner, I can say that he was fully informed of my information before it was presented; his allegations, on the other hand, were printed in the Pendut and made before the trustees without my consultation or prior knowledge.

I am an elected representative and willing to explain my conduct to any of my constituents. I live in Larrabee 107 and my phone number is 4-1821. Please get in touch with me if you have any comments or questions.

John Schwartz '72

Schwartz vs Shain

To the Editor:

The minority report was published. President Shain informed me that he considered the comparative data it contained misleading. I am here to tell you how it was gained.

On my last visit, I met with Mr. Hicken, the vice-president for finance from Fairfield University, and the same man from whom I received my original data. I said that I was willing to examine anything he considered inaccurate in my report and requested a copy of his correspondence. President Shain refused to give me access to the information, stating that it was contained in letters addressed to the treasurer. Later, the treasurer also refused to supply copies of this correspondence.

Faced with a dead end here, I called Mr. Hicken at Fairfield. Though he had been cooperative at our first meeting and had acknowledged receipt of a copy of my final comparative report in a friendly letter, he now refused to speak with me despite repeated phone calls. I concluded that his current attitude reflected a result of conversations with our administrators, and appealed to them to help obtain information to correct the reported inaccuracies in my figure. They refused, stating that Hicken did not want to become "involved" in a dispute between our administration and students. It is evident that their concept of involvement is to supply the administrators with detailed information while denying it to students.

Sincerely,
Mary McKenzie
Librarian

Letters to the Editor

I am accused of marshalling a case against the administration of Connecticut College and presenting it in an unfair manner. However, even in the absence of badly-needed data, I can tell that the administration itself offered a version in the Pendut. The difference between the $356,000 budget and the $54,000 figure, for example, is the difference between total and operating budgets; I cite the operating budget, while they complain I should have used the total. The difference is this: Fairfield University borrowed money to build its campus and this year is spending $655,000 ($396,000 less $451,000) to pay back the interest and principal; Connecticut College, on the other hand is paying only $30,000 on the operating cost for this year. Furthermore, President Shain's comments did not include the fact that Fairfield University has a far longer academic calendar and thus must pay employees for more days work than we do.

While I would agree that our institution probably has more need for physical plant expenditures than Fairfield—say so in public—I am unable to accurately assess the comparability of Fairfield and Connecticut for lack of information.

In response to his charges that I presented my facts in a dishonest and irresponsible manner, I can say that he was fully informed of my information before it was presented; his allegations, on the other hand, were printed in the Pendut and made before the trustees without my consultation or prior knowledge.

I am an elected representative and willing to explain my conduct to any of my constituents. I live in Larrabee 107 and my phone number is 4-1821. Please get in touch with me if you have any comments or questions.

John Schwartz '72

Crozier Restrictions

To the Editor:

I believe that the athletic department at Crozier-Williams has not only fallen short of fulfilling its duties to the students of Connecticut College and because of its present state of operation it is in direct conflict with the college's mission. Often when performing their jobs they make you feel very strongly that they are doing you a favor. Once a female worker at Cro. took her keys and left rather than serve a student she was in disagreement with. I am not advocating a bent knee reception, but preferably a consciousness in the workers at Cro. that they are being paid to serve you and not to do out their infrastructural gifts. This condescending attitude is something that was stressed in the point that students must run up and down stairs, back and forth, in order to serve you or to have been requested. In some cases students must run back to dorms laden with their laundry and D.L.S.'s, when a myriad of card-carrying students will vouch for the student's domicile legitimacy. If you ask for volleyball nets or for the baskets to be lowered and they don't like you, be prepared to do backflips should you get what you want. This lax attitude about serving the student is perpetuated by the fact that some workers decide on what when they want to go home from work. If they decide they are tired of putting up with those kids, a yellow cab development, this notion will sometimes carry to the point of authority to deem situations proper or improper. If there are any objections to the athletic department has concerning the way students wish to use the athletic facilities, let them mame their concerns and I am sure that students will know what is expected of them and what their rights are concerning the athletic department. I think that the one guest per family will greatly improve the facilities. There are many students who have positive ideas on how the athletic department should be run. Letting students direct the athletic department may not solve all problems, but logically follow the fact that the gym requirement has been eliminated because students are considered responsible enough to direct their own physical development, this notion will be viewed as logical. Therefore, if or not students will direct the athletic department is not of primary concern because the belief of primary concern is that there are some very serious reasons for changing the athletic department's present style of administration.

Charles K. Harvey

DINGLEBERRY by R.J.

LET'S EAT!

OH, I WAS CON- 
STIPATED ALL DAY 
YESTERDAY!

HOW COME?

DR. TAMERLANE 
WHAT DO YOU THINK 
OF VEGETARIANISM?

I DON'T KNOW!
SEEMS TO ME IT 
IS ALONG WITH 
MUSLIM AND JEWISH WATCHING.

OCR, TANGERINE 
IS THAT GROOVY?
YEAH! LET'S 
EAT IT!

NEVER FUNNY

THAT LOOKS LIKE 
SOMETHING MY UNCLE 
ONCE HAD! MY AUNT 
SEWED IT IN A RUBDOWN, AND HE Broker 
HIS NECK TRYING TO 
LICK IT OFF.

LOOK THERE'S 
DOUBLE-BREASTED 
SEESUCKER!

IS THAT SOMETHING 
I KNOW?

DARNED IF I KNOW.

THAT SOUNDS LIKE 
SOMETHING MY UNCLE 
ONCE HAD! MY AUNT 
SEWED IT IN A RUBDOWN, AND HE Broker 
HIS NECK TRYING TO 
LICK IT OFF.
Hilsm, an on China. (Continued From Page 1) world situation. The country has never before been in a position of equality among other nations; it has been either in a master slave relationship or designated as the "back man" of the Far East. As for U.S.-Russian relations regarding China, he said that Russia, ever "haunted by a nightmare" of secret deals between the U.S. and China, must be convinced that this has not happened. Presumably, Nixon will attend to this convincing during his upcoming trip to Russia.

Announcing

Reporters from the local media questioned Mr. Hilsm, a "likely" Democratic candidate for Congress from the 2nd district (in which New London is located), on his opinions of Nixon's economic policy and on the flock of Democratic presidential candidates. Calling the 2nd district a "poor district in a rich state," he said that economic reasons, in the main, are prompting his probably candidacy. Beyond noting that he is a "personal friend of every major candidate" and that he would work for whomsoever gets the nomination, he shed away

Voter Registration
Slated for College

Finally! With melted snow swelling freshets and a firendle wind inviting you to doff your winter jacket for the abandon of Spring weather and the smell of growing grass and new life filling the air comes the opportunity for students interested in including a greater voting strength would encourage equality among other nations; it has never before been in a position of equality among other nations; it has been either in a master slave relationship or designated as the "back man" of the Far East. As for U.S.-Russian relations regarding China, he said that Russia, ever "haunted by a nightmare" of secret deals between the U.S. and China, must be convinced that this has not happened. Presumably, Nixon will attend to this convincing during his upcoming trip to Russia.

King Documentary

A powerful documentary representation of recent history will be shown Sunday evening, March 12, in Palmer Auditorium under the auspices of the Afro-American Society: A Filmed Record...Montgomery to Memphis is a biography of the civil rights leader and of a people's movement. It was conceived by the distinguished film producer, Eli Landau, and was nominated for an Academy Award for the best documentary film of 1970. It is being released to campus audiences by the Martin Luther King Foundation. During the week of March 1 tickets at $2.00 will be on sale at Blackstone House and during the noon hour in the lobby of CrO.

Calman Jewelers
Jewelry & Watch Repairs
48 State St.

F.W. Woolworth Co.

FREE DRINK WITH LUNCH.

Buy anything, and we'll throw in a drink Free!

A career in law... without law school.

When you become a Lawyer's Assistant, you'll do work traditionally done by lawyers - work which is challenging, responsible and intellectually stimulating. Lawyer's Assistants are now so critically needed that The Institute for Paralegal Training can offer you a position in the city of your choice - and a higher starting salary than you'd expect as a recent college graduate. Here is a career as a professional with financial rewards that increase with your developing expertise.

If you are a student of high academic standing and are interested in a legal career, come speak with our representative.

Contact the Placement Office:
A representative of The Institute will visit your campus on:

MONDAY, MARCH 13

NOTE: If the above date is inconvenient for you, please call or write The Institute for information.

The Institute for Paralegal Training
13th floor 401 Walnut St. Phila. Pa. 19106

FREE DRINK
Free drink with any purchase and this coupon
McDonald's

FREE DRINK WITH LUNCH.

Buy anything, and we'll throw in a drink Free!

McDonald's

FREE DRINK
Free drink with any purchase and this coupon

McDonald's
Classified Ad
Box 1351
Connecticut College

For Sale
$5 CU. FT. COLDSPOT REFRIGERATOR. 5-year warranty; 1 year old. Tommy, Box 525.

Wanted: A Copy of the Last Whole Earth Catalog, and copies of previous issues. Contact: Masha in Plant 213 or Box 941.

Wanted: Female Roommate to share apartment in Boston for the summer. For further information contact: Karen in Wright House (or Box 1186).

Needed Desperately: Vacated apartment for student during spring break - Able to pay small fee. - Will lovingly care for apartment, plants and pets. Miss Johnson - 447-2215 Box 816.

50,000 Jobs

Summer Employment Career Opportunity Programs

The National Agency Of Student Employment Has Recently Completed A Nationwide Research Program Of Jobs Available To College Students And Graduates During 1972. Catalogs Which Fully Describe These Employment Positions May Be Obtained As Follows:

- Catalog of Summer and Career Positions Available Throughout the United States in Resort Areas, National Corporations, and Regional Employment Centers. Price $3.00.

- Foreign Job Information Catalog Listing Over 1,000 Employment Positions Available in Many Foreign Countries. Price $3.00.

- Special: Both of the Above Combined Catalogs With A Recommended Job Assignment To Be Selected For You. Please State Your Interests. Price $6.00.

National Agency of Student Employment
Student Services Division
#35 Erkenbrecher
Cincinnati, Ohio 45220

Celebrate with Wine

A. Gordon & Sons
Yellow Front Package Store
401 Williams Street
Telephone: 443-9780